arXiv:0705.1640v1 [hep-th] 11 May 2007

Spinor calculus for q-deformed quantum spaces I

Alexander Schmidt[*], Hartmut Wachter[†]

Max-Planck-Institute
for Mathematics in the Sciences
Inselstr. 22, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

Arnold-Sommerfeld-Center
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität
Theresienstr. 37, D-80333 München, Germany

Abstract

The article is dedicated to q-deformed versions of spinor calculus. As a kind of review, the most relevant properties of the two-dimensional quantum plane are summarized. Additionally, the relationship between the quantum plane and higher-dimensional quantum spaces like the q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions or the q-deformed Minkowski space is outlined. These considerations are continued by introducing q-analogs of the Pauli matrices. Their main properties are discussed in detail and numerous relations that could prove useful in physical applications are presented. In this respect, q-deformed versions of the important Fierz identities are written down.

---

[*] e-mail: schmidt@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

[†] e-mail: Hartmut.Wachter@physik.uni-muenchen.de

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Algebraic foundations of q-deformed spinor calculus 5
- 2.1 The two-dimensional quantum plane 5
- 2.2 The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ 6
  - 2.2.1 Hopf algebra structure and coactions 6
  - 2.2.2 Reality conditions 9
  - 2.2.3 The $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SU_q(2)$ 10

3 Construction of higher dimensional spaces from quantum planes 12
- 3.1 Four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space 13
- 3.2 q-deformed Minkowski space 16

4 q-Deformed Pauli matrices 19
- 4.1 Some general remarks 19
- 4.2 Pauli matrices for the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space 20
- 4.3 Pauli matrices for the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space 21
  - 4.3.1 Computation of Pauli matrices 21
  - 4.3.2 Elementary properties of Pauli matrices 25
  - 4.3.3 Relations for products of Pauli matrices 26
  - 4.3.4 The spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ 29
- 4.4 Pauli matrices for the q-deformed Minkowski space 32
  - 4.4.1 Computation of Pauli matrices 32
  - 4.4.2 Elementary properties of Pauli matrices 37
  - 4.4.3 Relations for products of Pauli matrices 39
  - 4.4.4 Additional relations for the matrices σ^+, σ^3, σ^- 42
  - 4.4.5 The spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ 44
  - 4.4.6 Additional relations for σ^{AB} 50

5 Converting spinorial and vectorial objects with the help of Pauli matrices 51

6 Fierz identities for four-dimensional q-deformed spaces 55

1 Introduction

It is commonplace that discretizing space-time should provide an effective method for regularizing quantum field theories [1]. In the literature one can find a number of serious attempts towards this goal (see for example Refs. [2–7]). A more recent and very promising approach, however, is based on quantum group symmetries [8–13].

Let us recall that quantum groups can be seen as deformations of classical space-time symmetries, as they describe the symmetry of their comodules, which are often referred to as quantum spaces. The most realistic quantum groups and quantum spaces arise from q-deformation [14–26]. (For other deformations of space-time see Refs. [27–33].)

As part of the long way towards a quantum field theory on q-deformed spaces, we treat a q-deformed version of spinor calculus in this paper. We mainly concentrate attention on q-analogs of 2-spinors and Pauli matrices. Notice that our reasonings will be continued by a second paper which is devoted to q-analogs of 4-spinors and the corresponding γ^μ-matrices. It should also be mentioned that the aim of the present paper is twofold. On the one hand it serves as review of known facts about q-deformed spinors [34–38]. This has the purpose to fix a consistent framework of conventions and notations. On the other hand we present numerous q-analogs of well-known identities found in standard textbooks on quantum field theory (see, for instance, Refs. [39–42]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we review the foundations of the two-dimensional Manin plane and the corresponding quantum group $SU_q(2)$ in great detail. Remember that the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is a Hopf algebra [43–46] that gives rise to left and right coactions on the Manin plane. For this reason we collect all relevant formulae concerning the Hopf-structure and coactions of $SU_q(2)$. Furthermore, we emphasize the existence of two different $*$-structures for the algebra of $SU_q(2)$. If we demand for the Manin plane to be a $*$-comodule algebra the right coaction of $SU_q(2)$ implies a different $*$-structure for $SU_q(2)$ than the left coaction. This observation is often neglected in the literature.

In Sec. 3 we describe how to build up q-deformed quantum spaces in four dimensions from two distinct quantum planes. In this respect, we concern ourselves with the q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions and the q-deformed Minkowski space. The reasonings in this section have complete review character and were included for the sake of completeness, since they enable a deeper understanding of the subject. Moreover, Sec. 3 shall serve as a collection of formulae that could prove useful in what follows.

Section 4 covers all aspects concerning q-analogs of Pauli matrices and spin matrices, where we restrict attention to objects of the q-deformed Minkowski space as well as the three- and four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. These considerations form the main part of the paper. Especially, we describe the explicit construction of the above mentioned matrices, list their most important properties, and discuss some peculiarities arising from the noncommutative structure. In doing so, we obtain numerous q-analogs of the well-known product- and trace-relations for Pauli matrices.

In Sec. 5 we take up the question how to switch from spinorial objects to vectorial ones and vice versa. These results are rather interesting from a representation theoretic point of view. In Sec. 6 we finally present formulae that can be viewed as q-analogs of Fierz identities. More concretely, we list q-deformed versions of all the *Weyl Spinor Fierz Identities* found in App. A of Ref. [42]. It is important to notice that the concrete form of these relations depends on the choice for the braiding between the different spinors. The influence of this choice on our results was carefully examined.

We would like to say a few words about the method we applied in getting most of our results. To derive a q-analog of a given identity one first proposes a reasonable ansatz. The essential idea is that normally ordered monomials of noncommutative generators often establish a basis of the algebra under consideration. In such cases the unknown coefficients of the ansatz can be found by a process of normal ordering together with comparing coefficients. This task can effectively be done by a computer algebra system like *Mathematica* [47].

Last but not least let us make some notational remarks. Throughout the article we use the shortcuts $\lambda \equiv q - q^{-1}$ and $\lambda_+ \equiv q + q^{-1}$. Sometimes a n-dimensional identity matrix is written as $\mathbb{1}$. The antisymmetric q-numbers are defined by $[[n]]_{q^a} \equiv \frac{1-q^{an}}{1-q^a}$, where we assume $q > 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 Algebraic foundations of q-deformed spinor calculus

In this section we give a review of the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ and its two-dimensional corepresentation. This corepresentation is nothing other than the two-dimensional quantum plane. The generators of the two-dimensional quantum plane can be viewed as q-analogs of 2-spinors. The following presentation is mainly based on the reasonings in Refs. [34, 35, 48, 49]. For a deeper understanding we also recommend to consult Refs. [44–46].

2.1 The two-dimensional quantum plane

Our starting point is the famous Manin plane and the coactions of the quantum group $SL_q(2)$ on it. The Manin plane is generated by two noncommutative coordinates subject to the relations

$$x^1x^2 = qx^2x^1. \tag{1}$$

We can write the above relation with the help of a q-analog of spinor metric ε_{ij}, i.e.

$$\varepsilon_{ij}x^ix^j = 0, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \\ -q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

For the inverse of the spinor metric we have

$$\varepsilon^{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

It is not very difficult to verify that

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{jk} = \varepsilon^{kj}\varepsilon_{ji} = \delta_i^k. \tag{5}$$

The spinor metric enables us to raise and lower indices of quantum plane coordinates:

$$x_i = \varepsilon_{ij}x^j, \quad x^i = \varepsilon^{ij}x_j. \tag{6}$$

Using this convention we transform the coordinates in Eq. (1) into covariant ones and get

$$x_1x_2 = q^{-1}x_2x_1. \tag{7}$$

Once again, the last result can be expressed with the help of the spinor metric. From (6), (2), and (5) we deduce

$$\varepsilon^{ji} x_i x_j = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (\varepsilon^T)^{ij} x_i x_j = 0, \tag{8}$$

where we introduced the transposed metric $(\varepsilon^T)^{ij} \equiv \varepsilon^{ji}$. Last but not least we can write down rules for raising the indices of the spinor metric itself. From (5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{lk} &= \varepsilon^{ik} \varepsilon^{jl} \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \text{or} \quad (\varepsilon^T)^{kl} = \varepsilon^{ik} \varepsilon^{jl} \varepsilon_{ij}, \\ \varepsilon_{lk} &= \varepsilon_{ik} \varepsilon_{jl} \varepsilon^{ij}, \quad \text{or} \quad (\varepsilon^T)_{kl} = \varepsilon_{ik} \varepsilon_{jl} \varepsilon^{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Next, we come to Hermitian conjugation on the quantum plane. On the coordinates x^i, $i = 1, 2$, the operation of conjugation becomes

$$\overline{x^i} = \overline{x}_i = -\varepsilon_{ij} \overline{x}^j, \qquad \overline{x_i} = \overline{x}^i = -\varepsilon^{ij} \overline{x}_j. \tag{10}$$

Notice that the conjugated coordinates $\overline{x}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, fulfill the same relation as the covariant coordinates x_i, $i = 1, 2$, as is indicated by their lower indices. It should also be mentioned that a conjugated spinor metric can be introduced via the condition

$$\overline{x^i x_i} \overset{!}{=} \bar{\varepsilon}^{ij} \bar{x}_j \bar{x}_i \tag{11}$$

resulting in

$$\bar{\varepsilon}^{ij} = -\varepsilon^{ij}. \tag{12}$$

There also exists an antisymmetrized version of the Manin plane characterized by the relations [50, 51]

$$\theta^1 \theta^1 = \theta^2 \theta^2 = 0, \qquad \theta^1 \theta^2 = -q^{-1} \theta^2 \theta^1. \tag{13}$$

On the antisymmetrized Manin plane covariant as well as conjugated coordinates are introduced in very much the same way as was done for the symmetrized Manin plane.

2.2 The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

2.2.1 Hopf algebra structure and coactions

As next step, we analyze the symmetry of the quantum plane. This symmetry is described by the quantum group $SL_q(2)$. The generators of $SL_q(2)$ can be viewed as noncommuting functionals corresponding to the entries of a 2 × 2 matrix. For this reason, $SL_q(2)$ is sometimes called a matrix quantum group. In analogy to the undeformed case, we write

$$M^i{}_j = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

with i denoting the row and j the column. The four entries a, b, c, and d stand for the elements generating the algebra of $SL_q(2)$.

It is possible to deduce the algebra relations between the entries of the matrix in (14), if we demand the quantum plane to be a comodule algebra with respect to the quantum group $SL_q(2)$. On coordinates of the Manin plane the left coaction β_L of $SL_q(2)$ should be given by

$$\beta_L(x^i) = M^i{}_j \otimes x^j, \tag{15}$$

whereas the right coaction β_R takes the form

$$\beta_R(x^i) = x^j \otimes M^j{}_i = x^j \otimes (M^T)^i{}_j. \tag{16}$$

If not stated otherwise summation over all repeated indices is to be understood. Requiring that the transformed elements again fulfill the relation for quantum plane coordinates, i.e.

$$\beta_{L/R}(x^1)\beta_{L/R}(x^2) = q\,\beta_{L/R}(x^2)\beta_{L/R}(x^1), \tag{17}$$

we are able to derive the following commutation relations for the generators of $SL_q(2)$:

$$\begin{gathered} ab = qba, \quad ac = qca, \quad bc = cb, \quad bd = qdb, \\ cd = qdc, \quad ad - da = (q - q^{-1})bc. \end{gathered} \tag{18}$$

Notice that in this algebra the quantum determinant

$$\det_q M = ad - q\,bc, \tag{19}$$

is a central element. Thus we can enlarge the algebra subjected to the relations in (18) by $(\det_q M)^{-1}$. Furthermore, we are free to set the quantum determinant equal to one.

Now, we are in a position to introduce a coproduct Δ, an antipode S, and a counit ϵ to make the algebra of $SL_q(2)$ into a Hopf algebra. In our matrix notation these structures read as[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(M^i{}_j) &= M^i{}_k \otimes M^k{}_j,\\ \Delta(\det_q M) &= \det_q M \otimes \det_q M,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S(M^i{}_j) &= (\det_q M)^{-1}\,\varepsilon_{lj}M^l{}_k\,\varepsilon^{ik},\\ S^{-1}(M^i{}_j) &= (\det_q M)^{-1}\,\varepsilon_{jl}M^l{}_k\,\varepsilon^{ki},\\ S(\det_q M) &= (\det_q M)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\epsilon(M^i{}_j) = \delta^i{}_j, \quad \epsilon(\det_q M) = 1. \tag{22}$$

Again, this Hopf structure is consistent with the choice $\det_q M = 1$, so we can omit the quantum determinant in the further reasonings about the Hopf algebra $SL_q(2)$.

Alternatively, one can start the derivation of the relations in (18) from coactions for covariant coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_L(x_i) &= S^{-1}(M^j{}_i)\otimes x_j,\\ \beta_R(x_i) &= x_j \otimes S((M^T)^j{}_i).\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We deduced the explicit form of the coactions in (23) from (15) and (16) by lowering indices and using (21). A short look at (7) tells us that the coactions on covariant coordinates now have to obey

$$\beta_{L/R}(x_1)\beta_{L/R}(x_2) = q^{-1}\,\beta_{L/R}(x_2)\beta_{L/R}(x_1). \tag{24}$$

This requirement again leads us to the commutation relations in (18).

From what we have done so far, we see that the quantum plane can play the role of a left as well as a right comodule of $SL_q(2)$. An essential feature of the coactions in (15), (16), and (23) is that they respect the spinor metric in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{ij}x^i x^j &= \varepsilon_{kl}\beta_{L/R}(x^k)\beta_{L/R}(x^l),\\ \varepsilon^{ji}x_i x_j &= \varepsilon^{lk}\beta_{L/R}(x_k)\beta_{L/R}(x_l).\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

---

[1] Throughout the paper ϵ denotes the counit of a Hopf structure, whereas ε stands for the spinor metric.

These identities are equivalent to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{kl} M^k{}_i M^l{}_j, \\
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{kl} (M^T)^k{}_i (M^T)^l{}_j, \\
\varepsilon^{ij} &= \varepsilon^{kl} S^{-1}(M^i{}_k M^j{}_l), \\
\varepsilon^{ij} &= \varepsilon^{kl} S^{-1}((M^T)^i{}_k (M^T)^j{}_l),
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where in the last two identities of (26) we can substitute S for S^{-1}.

2.2.2 Reality conditions

Now, we want to introduce the real form of $SL_q(2)$, which is called $SU_q(2)$. Towards this end we first have to define a conjugation on the quantum group generators. In this manner we take attention to the objects

$$\overline{M^i{}_j} = \bar{M}^i{}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

It arises the question what are the relations between them and how are they related to the generators a, b, c, and d.

In what follows we assume that the quantum plane is real, i.e. $\overline{x^i} = x_i$. If we require for the quantum plane to be a left-$*$-comodule algebra, i.e.

$$\beta_L(\overline{x^i}) = \overline{\beta_L(x^i)} = \overline{M^i{}_j} \otimes \overline{x^j}, \tag{28}$$

we get as reality condition for $SU_q(2)$:

$$\overline{M^i{}_j} = S^{-1}(M^j{}_i), \quad \text{or} \quad (M^\dagger)^i{}_j = S^{-1}(M^i{}_j), \tag{29}$$

More explicitly, we have

$$\bar{a} = d, \quad \bar{b} = -q\, c, \quad \bar{c} = -q^{-1}\, b, \quad \bar{d} = a. \tag{30}$$

Notice that (29) follows from (28) by exploiting the reality of the quantum plane together with (23).

However, if we demand that the quantum plane is a right comodule algebra, i.e.

$$\beta_R(\overline{x^i}) = \overline{\beta_R(x^i)} = \overline{x^j} \otimes \overline{M^j{}_i}, \tag{31}$$

we are lead to a second $*$-structure, namely

$$\overline{M^j{}_i} = S(M^i{}_j), \quad \text{or} \quad (M^\dagger)^i{}_j = S(M^i{}_j). \tag{32}$$

Notice that its explicit form is most easily obtained from (30) by replacing q with q^{-1}.

Taking the identifications in (30) we are able to express the quantum group generators in (18) by the conjugated ones. This way, we are able to find the wanted relations for the $\overline{M^i{}_j}$ and recognize that they follow from (18) by replacing $M^i{}_j$ with $\overline{M^i{}_j}$ and q with q^{-1}. Remarkably, the second $*$-structure implies the same relations for the $\overline{M^i{}_j}$. Straightforward calculations show us that both $*$-structures fulfill all axioms of a Hopf-$*$-algebra (for these axioms see Ref. [46]). Finally, let us also mention that we have $\overline{\det_q M} = \det_q M$, as one expects.

2.2.3 The $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SU_q(2)$

It is often convenient to express the defining relations of a quantum group with the help of the so-called $\hat{R}$-matrix. The explicit form of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SU_q(2)$ is given by [48]

$$\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

where the indices i, j, k, and l run over all values from the set $\{1, 2\}$. The (ij)-double index labels the four rows, while the (kl)-double index refers to the columns of the $\hat{R}$-matrix. With the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SU_q(2)$ the relations in (18) can be written as

$$\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl} M^k{}_r M^l{}_s = M^i{}_k M^j{}_l \hat{R}^{kl}{}_{rs}. \tag{34}$$

It is also possible to formulate the commutation relations of the quantum plane by means of the $\hat{R}$-matrix. To reach this goal we have to take into account that the $\hat{R}$-matrix in (33) shows the projector decomposition

$$\hat{R} = qS - q^{-1}A \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{1} = A + S, \tag{35}$$

where S and A are q-analogs of a symmetrizer and an antisymmetrizer, respectively. Using the spinor metric the projector A can be written as

$$A^{ij}{}_{kl} = -\lambda_+^{-1} \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon_{kl}. \tag{36}$$

From the projector decomposition in (35) one deduces some helpful formulae for the contraction of the $\hat{R}$-matrix with the spinor metric:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^{ii'}{}_{jk}\,\varepsilon_{i'l} &= q\,\varepsilon_{ji'}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{i'i}{}_{kl}, \\ \hat{R}^{ij}{}_{li'}\,\varepsilon^{i'k} &= q\,\varepsilon^{ii'}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{jk}{}_{i'l}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

In addition to this, we have further identities which can be derived from those in (37) via the replacements

$$\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl} \rightarrow (\hat{R}^{-1})^{ij}{}_{kl}, \qquad q \rightarrow q^{-1}. \tag{38}$$

Further relations concerning this stuff are

$$R^{ij}{}_{kl}\,\varepsilon^{kl} = -q^{-1}\,\varepsilon^{ij}, \qquad \hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl}\,\varepsilon_{ij} = -q^{-1}\,\varepsilon_{kl}, \tag{39}$$

and two other ones that are again obtained via the replacements in (38).

For the moment let us have a look at some identities concerning the *undeformed* spinor metric ε_{ij}:

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ji}, \tag{40}$$

$$\varepsilon^{ik}\varepsilon_{kj} = \delta^{i}{}_{j}, \tag{41}$$

$$\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \delta^{i}{}_{l}\,\delta^{j}{}_{k} - \delta^{i}{}_{k}\,\delta^{j}{}_{l}, \tag{42}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\,\varepsilon_{kl} + \varepsilon_{il}\,\varepsilon_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ik}\,\varepsilon_{lj} = 0. \tag{43}$$

It is rather instructive to compare the above identities with their q-analogs. The q-analog of (40) is given by (39) since the $\hat{R}$-matrix can be recognized as a kind of twist. Relation (41), however, remains unchanged under q-deformation [cf. Eq. (5)]. The q-analog of (42) is nothing other than

$$\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl} - q\,\delta^{i}{}_{k}\,\delta^{j}{}_{l}, \tag{44}$$

if one realizes that $\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl} \overset{q\rightarrow 1}{\rightarrow} \delta^{i}{}_{l}\,\delta^{j}{}_{k}$. To derive (44) we first eliminate the projector S from the equations in (35). In doing so, we should arrive at

$$A = q\lambda_{+}^{-1}\mathbb{1} - \lambda_{+}^{-1}\hat{R}, \tag{45}$$

which together with (36) implies (44).

Finally, the deformation of (43) reads

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\,\varepsilon_{kl} + q^{-2}\hat{R}^{l'k'}{}_{kl}\,\hat{R}^{l''j'}{}_{jl'}\,\varepsilon_{il''}\,\varepsilon_{j'k'} + \hat{R}^{k'j'}{}_{jk}\,\hat{R}^{l'j''}{}_{j'l}\,\varepsilon_{ik'}\,\varepsilon_{l'j''} = 0. \tag{46}$$

Applying the replacements $\hat{R} \rightarrow R^{-1}$ and $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ to the expressions in (44) and (46) we get further q-analogs of (42) and (43), respectively. Notice that (37) becomes the trivial identity $\varepsilon_{kl} = \varepsilon_{kl}$ if q tends to 1. Thus, it describes a true q-deformed feature.

With the help of the projectors S and A we are able to write down the quantum space relations (1) and (13) in a rather compact form:

$$A^{ij}{}_{kl}\, x^k x^l = 0, \qquad S^{ij}{}_{kl}\, \theta^k \theta^l = 0. \tag{47}$$

In Ref. [50] it was shown that these relations can also be written in terms of the $\hat{R}$-matrix (33):

$$x^i x^j = q\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl}\, x^k x^l, \qquad \theta^i \theta^j = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl}\, \theta^k \theta^l. \tag{48}$$

Lastly, we wish to say a few words about the commutation relations between spinor coordinates and their conjugated versions. For these commutation relations to be covariant under the coactions (15), (16), and (23) they have to be of the form

$$\bar{x}^{\bar{\imath}} x^j = q\hat{R}^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{k\bar{l}}\, x^k \bar{x}^{\bar{l}}, \tag{49}$$

and, likewise,

$$\bar{\theta}^{\bar{\imath}} \theta^j = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{k\bar{l}}\, \theta^k \bar{\theta}^{\bar{l}}. \tag{50}$$

It should be mentioned that the relations in (49) and (50) describe the braiding between two quantum planes. For the details we recommend the reader to consult Ref. [50].

3 Construction of higher dimensional spaces from quantum planes

In the following section we review the canonical construction of higher dimensional (symmetrized) quantum spaces from quantum planes. For physical reasons, we restrict attention to four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space and q-deformed Minkowski space. The considerations in this section are mainly based on Refs. [34], [35], [36], and [52].

To construct the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space and the q-deformed Minkowski space together with their quantum groups we need two copies of the quantum plane and two copies of the quantum group $SL_q(2)$. We denote the generators of the second quantum plane by $\tilde{x}^i$ and those of the second copy of $SL_q(2)$ by $\tilde{M}^i{}_j$. Clearly, the relations between the $\tilde{M}^i{}_j$ have to be of the same form as those between the $M^i{}_j$:

$$\hat{R}^{ij}{}_{kl}\,\tilde{M}^k{}_r\,\tilde{M}^l{}_s = \tilde{M}^i{}_k\,\tilde{M}^j{}_l\,\hat{R}^{kl}{}_{rs}. \tag{51}$$

Next, we build the tensor product of the two quantum planes. To achieve this there are two possibilities: either the spinor components of distinct quantum planes commute or they are subject to nontrivial commutation relations given by the braiding in (49). The first choice leads to the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space, while the second one establishes q-Minkowski space.

Analogously, the quantum group $SO_q(4)$ and the q-deformed Lorentz group are build on the tensor product $SL_q(2) \otimes SL_q(2)$. The relations between the generators of the two tensor factors depend on whether we deal with four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space or q-deformed Minkowski space. The details are explained in the following two subsections.

3.1 Four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space

Compared to q-Minkowski space the q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions has a simpler algebraic structure, so we will start with it. In this case, as mentioned above, the coordinates of the two distinct quantum planes commute with each other. On these grounds the generators of the two copies of $SL_q(2)$ shall also commute with each other, i.e.

$$M^i{}_j\,\tilde{M}^{\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{l}} = \tilde{M}^{\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{l}}\,M^i{}_j. \tag{52}$$

Now, we come to the tensor product of the two commuting quantum planes. This tensor product is recognized as q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions. As coordinates on this space we define

$$X^{\tilde{\imath}j} \equiv \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\imath}} x^j = \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\imath}} \otimes x^j, \qquad \tilde{\imath}, j \in \{1, 2\}. \tag{53}$$

We can also arrange tensor factors in reversed order. In this manner, the coordinates of q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions alternatively become

$$X^{i\tilde{\jmath}} \equiv x^i \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\jmath}} = x^i \otimes \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\jmath}}, \qquad i, \tilde{\jmath} \in \{1, 2\}. \tag{54}$$

Next, we are seeking the relations of the coordinates $X^{i\tilde{\jmath}}$. To this end, we first make reasonable ansaetze. Then we substitute the coordinates with their tensor representations given by (53). Applying relation (1) we rearrange the expressions of each tensor factor in a way that they become normally ordered. Proceeding this way, each ansatz can be rewritten in terms of a tensor basis. From the coefficient of each basis element we finally read off a system of equations. Its solutions lead us to the commutation relations (281) in App. A if we make the identifications

$$X^1 \equiv X^{\tilde{1}1}, \quad X^2 \equiv X^{\tilde{1}2}, \quad X^3 \equiv X^{\tilde{2}1}, \quad X^4 \equiv X^{\tilde{2}2}. \tag{55}$$

We now turn to the quantum group $SO_q(4)$. Its left coaction on coordinates of q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions takes the form

$$\beta_L(X^{\tilde{i}j}) = \tilde{M}^{\tilde{i}}{}_{\tilde{k}}\, M^j{}_s \otimes X^{\tilde{k}s} =: \Lambda^{\tilde{i}j}{}_{\tilde{k}s} \otimes X^{\tilde{k}s}, \tag{56}$$

or, alternatively,

$$\beta_L(X^{i\tilde{j}}) = M^i{}_k\, \tilde{M}^{\tilde{j}}{}_{\tilde{s}} \otimes X^{k\tilde{s}} =: \Lambda^{i\tilde{j}}{}_{k\tilde{s}} \otimes X^{k\tilde{s}}. \tag{57}$$

As one expects, each tensor factor transforms under its own copy of $SL_q(2)$.

In analogy to (34) the commutation relations between the quantum group generators $\Lambda^{i\tilde{j}}{}_{k\tilde{s}}$ should determine the $\hat{R}$-matrix for $SO_q(4)$:

$$\Lambda^{\tilde{i}j}{}_{\tilde{k}l}\, \Lambda^{\tilde{i}'j'}{}_{\tilde{k}'l'}\, \hat{R}^{(\tilde{k}l)(\tilde{k}'l')}{}_{(\tilde{r}s)(\tilde{r}'s')} = \hat{R}^{(\tilde{i}j)(\tilde{i}'j')}{}_{(\tilde{k}l)(\tilde{k}'l')} \Lambda^{\tilde{k}l}{}_{\tilde{r}s}\, \Lambda^{\tilde{k}'l'}{}_{\tilde{r}'s'}. \tag{58}$$

Since the quantum group $SO_q(4)$ is build on the tensor product $SL_q(2) \otimes SL_q(2)$ the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SO_q(4)$ can be related to the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SL_q(2)$. Concretely, we have

$$\hat{R}^{(\tilde{i}j)(\tilde{i}'j')}{}_{(\tilde{k}l)(\tilde{k}'l')} = q^{-1} \hat{R}^{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}'}{}_{\tilde{k}\tilde{k}'} \hat{R}^{jj'}{}_{ll'}. \tag{59}$$

To verify this identity we insert it together with

$$\Lambda^{\tilde{i}j}{}_{\tilde{k}l}\, \Lambda^{\tilde{i}'j'}{}_{\tilde{k}'l'} = (\tilde{M}^{\tilde{i}}{}_{\tilde{k}} \otimes M^j{}_l)(\tilde{M}^{\tilde{i}'}{}_{\tilde{k}'} \otimes M^{j'}{}_{l'}), \tag{60}$$

into Eq. (58). Using Eqs. (34), (51), and (52) we are then able to rearrange the left-hand side of Eq. (58) in such a way that it becomes identical to the right-hand side of (58).

We can also start our considerations from the coordinates $X^{i\tilde{j}}$. Repeating the same steps as before we get instead of (59) that

$$\hat{R}^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}{}_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} = q^{-1} \hat{R}^{ii'}{}_{kk'} \hat{R}^{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}'}{}_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}'}. \tag{61}$$

This result differs from that in (59) insofar as indices with a tilde are interchanged with those without a tilde. However, the entries of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SL_q(2)$ do not depend on the style of their labels. On these grounds, we are free to identify the expressions in (59) and (61). As a consequence, we can restrict attention to the space spanned by the coordinates in (53). The results corresponding to (54) then follow from those to (53) by replacing indices with a tilde by indices without a tilde and vice versa. As we will see later on, this situation is completely different if we deal with q-deformed Minkowski space. Finally, let us notice that we obtain expressions for the inverse of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SO_q(4)$ if we replace each $\hat{R}$ in Eqs. (59) and (61) by $\hat{R}^{-1}$ and apply the substitution $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$.

The next natural step is to consider the projector decomposition of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SO_q(4)$ as it was done in Ref. [34]. Here, we only state the result taken from Ref. [34]. The projector decomposition is given by

$$\hat{R} = qP_S - q^{-1}P_A + q^{-3}P_0. \tag{62}$$

The projectors for the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SO_q(4)$ are composed of the projectors of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SL_q(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_0)^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}{}_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} &= A^{ii'}{}_{kk'}A^{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}'}{}_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}'}, \\
(P_S)^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}{}_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} &= S^{ii'}{}_{kk'}S^{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}'}{}_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}'}, \\
(P_A)^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}{}_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} &= A^{ii'}{}_{kk'}S^{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}'}{}_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}'} + S^{ii'}{}_{kk'}A^{\tilde{j}\tilde{j}'}{}_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Let us make a few comments on the meaning of these projectors. P_0 projects onto a one-dimensional space. Via relation

$$(P_0)^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}{}_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} = \frac{1}{C^{(s\tilde{t})(s'\tilde{t}')}C_{(s\tilde{t})(s'\tilde{t}')}}C^{(i\tilde{j})(i'\tilde{j}')}C_{(k\tilde{l})(k'\tilde{l}')} \tag{64}$$

it determines the quantum metric of the q-deformed four-dimensional Euclidean space. Exploiting (36) we find that the quantum metric and its inverse respectively take the form

$$C_{(i\tilde{j})(k\tilde{l})} = -\varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{\tilde{j}\tilde{l}}, \tag{65}$$

and

$$C^{(k\tilde{l})(i\tilde{j})} = -\varepsilon^{ki}\varepsilon^{\tilde{l}\tilde{j}}. \tag{66}$$

P_S is nothing other than a q-analog of a symmetrizer. Likewise, P_A can be viewed as q-analog of an antisymmetrizer. The first summand of its decomposition in (63) is the antiself-dual projector P_-, while the second one is the self-dual projector P_+. The antisymmetrizer is linked to a q-deformed epsilon tensor:

$$\varepsilon^{i\tilde{i}j\tilde{j}k\tilde{k}l\tilde{l}} = -q^2(\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{k}'}\hat{R}^{\tilde{j}\tilde{k}}{}_{\tilde{k}'\tilde{j}'}\varepsilon^{\tilde{j}'\tilde{l}}\varepsilon^{kl} - \varepsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}\varepsilon^{ik'}\hat{R}^{jk}{}_{k'j'}\varepsilon^{j'l}\varepsilon^{\tilde{k}\tilde{l}}), \tag{67}$$

The above expression for the epsilon tensor remains unchanged by substituting $\hat{R}$ with $\hat{R}^{-1}$. The prefactors in (59), (61), and (63 - 67) were chosen for the sake of simplification of the conversion formulae between spinorial and vectorial objects [cf. Sec. 5].

3.2 q-deformed Minkowski space

To proceed with q-deformed Minkowski space we now demand that there is a braiding between the two quantum planes. The definition of the coordinates on the tensor product of the quantum planes is exactly the same as in the case of q-deformed Euclidean space, i.e. Eq. (53) carries over to q-deformed Minkowski space. The same holds for the coactions in (56) and (57). As opposed to our previous presentation we distinguish the generators of the second quantum plane by bars. This shall remind us of the fact that the two quantum planes are transformed into each other by conjugation, i.e.

$$\overline{X^{i\bar{j}}} = \overline{x^k \otimes \bar{x}^{\bar{j}}} = x_j \otimes \bar{x}_{\bar{k}} = X_{j\bar{k}}. \tag{68}$$

On these grounds, the braiding between the two quantum planes is given by (49). Proceeding in the same way as described after Eq. (54), but now taking into account the braiding of the spinor coordinates, we can derive the commutation relations for coordinates on q-deformed Minkowski space.

The braiding between the two quantum planes forces the two copies of $SL_q(2)$ to be braided as well. To compute the relations between the two copies we apply the left coaction on both sides of (49):

$$\beta_L(X^{\bar{\imath}j}) = q\hat{R}^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{k\bar{l}}\,\beta_L(X^{k\bar{l}}). \tag{69}$$

The above equation can be recognized as covariance of the braiding (49). Exploiting this kind of consistency condition finally yields

$$\hat{R}^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{k\bar{l}}\,M^k{}_s\tilde{M}^{\bar{l}}{}_{\bar{t}} = \tilde{M}^{\bar{\imath}}{}_{\bar{m}}M^j{}_n\hat{R}^{\bar{m}n}{}_{s\bar{t}}. \tag{70}$$

By now we are able to compute the relations between the elements $\Lambda^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{\bar{k}l}$ of the q-deformed Lorentz group. Using similar arguments as for q-deformed Euclidean space we can get the $\hat{R}$-matrix of the q-deformed Lorentz group in terms of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SL_q(2)$. In this manner, we have

$$\hat{R}^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)}{}_{(\bar{k}'l')(\bar{\imath}'j')} = (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j\bar{k}}{}_{\bar{\imath}''j''}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}''}{}_{\bar{k}'\bar{l}''}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{j''l}{}_{k''j'}\hat{R}^{\bar{l}''k''}{}_{l'\bar{\imath}'}, \tag{71}$$

and the corresponding inverse becomes

$$(\hat{R}^{-1})^{(\bar{k}'l')(\bar{\imath}'j')}{}_{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)} = (\hat{R}^{-1})^{l'\bar{\imath}'}{}_{\bar{\imath}''l''}\hat{R}^{\bar{k}'\bar{\imath}''}{}_{\bar{\imath}\bar{k}''}\hat{R}^{l''j'}{}_{j''l'}\hat{R}^{\bar{k}''j''}{}_{j\bar{k}}. \tag{72}$$

With the $\hat{R}$-matrix of the q-deformed Lorentz group at hand the commutation relations of the generators $\Lambda^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{\bar{k}l}$ of q-deformed Lorentz group can be written in the form

$$\Lambda^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{\bar{k}l}\Lambda^{\bar{\imath}'j'}{}_{\bar{k}'l'}\hat{R}^{(\bar{k}l)(\bar{k}'l')}{}_{(\bar{r}s)(\bar{r}'s')} = \hat{R}^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{\imath}'j')}{}_{(\bar{k}l)(\bar{k}'l')}\,\Lambda^{\bar{k}l}{}_{\bar{r}s}\Lambda^{\bar{k}'l'}{}_{\bar{r}'s'}. \tag{73}$$

Nothing prevents us from starting our considerations with the coordinates introduced in (54), i.e. we reverse the order in which the two quantum planes are arranged in their tensor product. In doing so, we end up at a second version of the $\hat{R}$-matrix for q-deformed Lorentz group:

$$\hat{R}^{(i\bar{\jmath})(k\bar{l})}{}_{(k'\bar{l}')(i'\bar{\jmath}')} = \hat{R}^{\bar{\jmath}k}{}_{i''\bar{\jmath}''}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{ii''}{}_{k'l''}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\bar{\jmath}''\bar{l}}{}_{\bar{k}''\bar{\jmath}'}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{l''\bar{k}''}{}_{\bar{l}'i'}, \tag{74}$$

and

$$(\hat{R}^{-1})^{(k'\bar{l}')(i'\bar{\jmath}')}{}_{(i\bar{\jmath})(k\bar{l})} = \hat{R}^{\bar{l}'i'}{}_{i''\bar{l}''}\hat{R}^{k'i''}{}_{ik''}\hat{R}^{\bar{l}''\bar{\jmath}'}{}_{\bar{\jmath}''\bar{l}}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{k''\bar{\jmath}''}{}_{\bar{\jmath}k}. \tag{75}$$

It is important to realize that the second choice for the coordinates of q-deformed Minkowski space provides us with an expression for the q-deformed Lorentz $\hat{R}$-matrix that is really different from (71). This observation is a consequence of the nontrivial braiding between the two quantum planes q-deformed Minkowski space is made out of.

The $\hat{R}$-matrix of q-deformed Lorentz group obeys the projector decomposition

$$\hat{R} = q^{-2}P_S + q^2 P_T - (P_+ + P_-). \tag{76}$$

The expressions of the projectors can again be reduced to the projectors of the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $SL_q(2)$, but now we have to be aware of the nontrivial braiding between the two quantum planes. For this reason symmetrizers and antisymmetrizers of $SL_q(2)$ are accompanied by $\hat{R}$-matrices of $SL_q(2)$:

$$(P_0)^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)}{}_{(\bar{k}'l')(\bar{\imath}'j')} = (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j\bar{k}}{}_{i''j''}A^{\bar{\imath}i''}{}_{\bar{k}'l''}A^{j''l}{}_{k''j'}\hat{R}^{l''k''}{}_{l'\bar{\imath}'},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P_S)^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)}{}_{(\bar{k}'l')(\bar{\imath}'j')} &= (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j\bar{k}}{}_{i''j''} S^{\bar{\imath}i''}{}_{\bar{k}'l''} S^{j''l}{}_{k''j'} \hat{R}^{l''k''}{}_{l'\bar{\imath}'}, \\
(P_A)^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)}{}_{(\bar{k}'l')(\bar{\imath}'j')} &= (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j\bar{k}}{}_{i''j''} (A^{\bar{\imath}i''}{}_{\bar{k}'l''} S^{j''l}{}_{k''j'} + S^{\bar{\imath}i''}{}_{\bar{k}'l''} A^{j''l}{}_{k''j'}) \hat{R}^{l''k''}{}_{l'\bar{\imath}'}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{77}
$$

In complete analogy to the four-dimensional Euclidean space the first summand in the formula for the projector P_A is the antiself-dual projector P_-, while the second one plays the role of the self-dual projector P_+.

In spinorial form the quantum metric of q-deformed Minkowski space now becomes

$$
C_{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)} = -q^{-2} \varepsilon_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}'} (\hat{R})^{\bar{\imath}'l'}{}_{j\bar{k}} \varepsilon_{l'l}. \tag{78}
$$

With the help of (37) one readily checks that the inverse metric is given by

$$
C^{(\bar{\imath}j)(\bar{k}l)} = -q^{2} \varepsilon^{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j\bar{k}}{}_{\bar{\imath}'l'} \varepsilon^{l'l}. \tag{79}
$$

The four-dimensional epsilon tensor in a spinorial basis reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{(\bar{\imath}i)(\bar{\jmath}j)(\bar{k}k)(\bar{l}l)} =& q^3 \big((\hat{R}^{-1})^{\bar{\imath}j}{}_{j'\bar{\imath}'} \varepsilon^{ij'} \varepsilon^{\bar{\imath}'\bar{k}''} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\bar{\jmath}\bar{k}'}{}_{\bar{k}''\bar{\jmath}'} \varepsilon^{k'l} \varepsilon^{\bar{\jmath}'\bar{l}} \hat{R}^{k\bar{k}}{}_{\bar{k}'k'} \\
& - (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\bar{k}l}{}_{l'\bar{k}'} \varepsilon^{ik'} \varepsilon^{\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{j'k}{}_{k'j''} \varepsilon^{j''l'} \varepsilon^{\bar{k}'\bar{l}} \hat{R}^{j\bar{\jmath}}{}_{\bar{\jmath}'j'} \big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

The above formulae for projectors, q-deformed Minkowski metric and four-dimensional epsilon tensor refer to (53) as choice for the coordinates on q-deformed Minkowski space. We saw that another choice for the Minkowski coordinates as it was given in (54) leads us to different expressions for the q-deformed Lorentz $\hat{R}$-matrix. The same holds for its projectors, the q-deformed Minkowski metric, and the four-dimensional epsilon tensor. Luckily, this second set of expressions can easily be derived from the formulae in Eqs. (77)-(79) by replacing $\hat{R}$ with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ and q with q^{-1}, if unbarred indices are always converted into barred ones and vice versa. Such a transition rule follows from a comparison of (71) and (74) [or alternatively from comparing (72) with (75)]. Finally, this transition rule also applies to (80) with one exception: instead of the replacement $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ we have $q \rightarrow -q^{-1}$.

We would like to conclude with some remarks about the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. Its coordinates span a module algebra of the Hopf algebra $U_q(su(2))$. In this respect, three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space is more or less a subspace of q-deformed Minkowski space, since the Minkowski coordinates transform according to $1/2 \otimes 1/2 = 0 \oplus 1$, i.e. they contain a vector representation of $U_q(su(2))$.

4 q-Deformed Pauli matrices

4.1 Some general remarks

In this section we treat q-analogs of Pauli matrices in detail. Our considerations refer to q-deformed Minkowski space as well as the q-deformed Euclidean spaces with three and four dimensions.

Let us recall that the Pauli matrices tell us how to combine two spinors to form a four-vector. In principle, the method to determine the entries of the Pauli matrices is the same for all quantum spaces under consideration. In this respect, we make an ansatz for the decomposition of the vector coordinates X^{μ} into symmetrized spinor coordinates,

$$X^{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha=1,\,\dot{\beta}=1}^{2} x^{\alpha} (\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{x}^{\dot{\beta}}, \tag{81}$$

where $(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ denotes the entries of the the so-called *bosonic* Pauli matrices. Exchanging the spinors on the right hand side of Eq. (81), we get a second possibility for introducing Pauli matrices:

$$X^{\mu} = \sum_{\dot{\alpha}=1,\,\beta=1}^{2} \bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} x^{\beta}. \tag{82}$$

The coefficients $(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}$ of this ansatz are the so-called *conjugated* Pauli matrices.

Now, we act on both sides of Eqs. (81) and (82) with generators of a quantum algebra describing the symmetry of the quantum space under consideration. On the left-hand side of (81) and (82) the action of the symmetry generators leads to a linear combination of the vector coordinates X^{μ}, which we replace by the ansaetze in (81) and (82), respectively. Since the monomials $x^{\alpha}\bar{x}^{\dot{\beta}}$ as well as $\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}x^{\beta}$ set up a basis, their coefficients should vanish. This requirement give us a system of equations for computing $(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ or $(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}$.

This procedure also applies if we use antisymmetrized spinors θ^{i} and $\bar{\theta}^{i}$ in (81) and (82). This way, we are lead to the conjugated and unconjugated versions of the so-called *fermionic* Pauli matrices. To sum up, we can distinguish four different categories of Pauli matrices. It should be noted that the Pauli matrices of the same category are unique up to a common normalization factor. Let us denote these factors by k_b, $\bar{k}_b$, k_f, and $\bar{k}_f$, where the labels b and f stand for 'bosonic' and 'fermionic', respectively, while the bar serves to distinguish the conjugated versions from the unconjugated ones. The ratios $k_b/\bar{k}_b$ and $k_f/\bar{k}_f$ are fixed by the commutation relations between the spinors of different quantum planes. The reason for this lies in the fact that commuting the spinors in (81) should yield the expression on the right-hand side of (82). Finally, there remain two degrees of freedom. They can be removed by convenient choices for the normalization of unconjugated bosonic and unconjugated fermionic Pauli matrices, but this is a matter of taste.

4.2 Pauli matrices for the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space

First of all, it is our aim to compute the explicit form of the Pauli matrices for the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. This requires to know the following commutation relations between generators of $U_q(su(2))$ and spinors of a quantum plane [48]:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^+x^1 &= qx^1T^+ + q^{-1}x^2, \\
T^+x^2 &= q^{-1}x^2T^+, \\
T^-x^1 &= qx^1T^-, \\
T^-x^2 &= q^{-1}x^2T^- + qx^1, \\
\tau^3x^1 &= q^2x^1\tau^3, \\
\tau^3x^2 &= q^{-2}x^2\tau^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

For the other types of spinors, i.e. $\bar{x}^i, \theta^i$, and $\bar{\theta}^i$, we have the same relations. (From the point of view provided by $U_q(su(2))$ there is no difference between conjugated and unconjugated coordinates. Thus, the only purpose of the bar on top of the coordinates is to distinguish the two quantum planes from one another.) With (83) at hand we are able to find the action of $U_q(su(2))$-generators on a tensor product of quantum planes. Acting on both sides of Eq. (81) with the generators of $U_q(su(2))$ (for the vectorial and spinorial representation of $U_q(su(2))$ see, for example, Ref. [53]) and comparing coefficients we find the explicit form of the Pauli matrices up to normalization factors k and $\bar{k}$, i.e.

$$(\sigma^+)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = k\, q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma^-)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = k\, q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\sigma^3)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{84}$$

and

$$(\bar{\sigma}^+)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \bar{k}\, q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^-)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \bar{k}\, q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$(\bar{\sigma}^3)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \bar{k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{85}$$

Apart from a global normalization there is no difference between the conjugated and the unconjugated matrices. This is a consequence of the fact that all types of spinor coordinates behave under transformations of $U_q(su(2))$ in the same way. The braiding for symmetrized spinors in (49) requires for the Pauli matrices to fulfill

$$(\bar{\sigma}^A)_{\dot{\gamma}\delta} = q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}\delta}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \qquad A \in \{+, 3-\}. \tag{86}$$

As one can prove by inserting this is indeed the case if $k_b = q^2\bar{k}_b$. Likewise, the braiding for antisymmetrized spinors in (50) implies $k_f = -\bar{k}_f$.

We do not discuss the three-dimensional Pauli matrices any further here, because they are a subset of the Pauli matrices of q-deformed Minkowski space. In other words, the results for q-deformed Minkowski space include those for three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space.

4.3 Pauli matrices for the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space

4.3.1 Computation of Pauli matrices

The treatment of the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space differs from the three-dimensional one insofar as the generators of $U_q(so(4))$ have to act on the two quantum planes differently. As we know, $U_q(so(4))$ is algebraically isomorphic to $SL_q(2) \otimes SL_q(2)$ and the first quantum plane carries a $(1/2, 0)$-representation of $U_q(so(4))$. Thus, the commutation relations between the generators of $U_q(so(4))$ and spinor components of the first quantum plane read as [53]

$$L_1^+ x^1 = -q^{-1}x^2 + qx^1 L_1^+,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1^+ x^2 &= x^2 L_1^+,\\
L_1^- x^1 &= q x^1 L_1^-,\\
L_1^- x^2 &= q^{-1} x^2 L_1^- - q x^1,\\
K_1 x^1 &= q^{-1} x^1 K_1,\\
K_1 x^2 &= q x^2 K_1,\\
L_2^\pm x^i &= x^i L_2^\pm,\\
K_2 x^i &= x^i K_2, \quad i \in \{1,2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

The second quantum plane transforms under the action of $U_q(so(4))$ as a $(0,1/2)$-representation. The corresponding commutation relations are obtained from (87) via the interchanges

$$K_1 \leftrightarrow K_2, \qquad x^i \leftrightarrow \tilde{x}^i, \qquad L_1^\pm \to L_2^\pm. \tag{88}$$

The x^i as well as the $\tilde{x}^i$ are coordinates of symmetrized quantum planes subject to (1). In complete analogy to the three dimensional case the generators of $U_q(so(4))$ commute with antisymmetrized spinors in the same way as with symmetrized ones.

Notice that the above commutation relations are compatible with the relations of the symmetrized and the antisymmetrized quantum plane in the sense that

$$T(x^1x^2 - qx^2x^1) = (x^1x^2 - qx^2x^1)T, \tag{89}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\theta^1\theta^2 + q^{-1}\theta^2\theta^1) &= (\theta^1\theta^2 + q^{-1}\theta^2\theta^1)T,\\
T\theta^k\theta^k &= 0, \quad k \in \{1,2\},
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where T stands for a generator of $U_q(so(4))$, i.e. L_1^+, L_1^-, L_2^+, L_2^-, K_1, or K_2. Moreover, the commutation relations between generators of $U_q(so(4))$ and spinor coordinates are consistent with trivial braidings between different copies of quantum planes, i.e. we have

$$x^i\tilde{x}^j = \tilde{x}^j x^i, \qquad \theta^i\tilde{\theta}^j = -\tilde{\theta}^j\theta^i, \tag{91}$$

where x^i, $\tilde{x}^i$ denote symmetrized spinors and θ^i, $\tilde{\theta}^i$ antisymmetrized ones.

Now we want to describe how to compute the Pauli matrices for the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space with its special features. First we compute the Pauli matrices for symmetrized spinors. As ansatz we use (81). X^μ stands for one of the vector coordinates of four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space, i.e. X^1, X^2, X^3, or X^4. Their commutation relations with the generators of $U_q(so(4))$ are listed in the appendix [cf. (281)]. We apply the generators of $U_q(so(4))$ to both sides of (81) and substitute the vector coordinates by (81). Comparing coefficients we finally get for the unconjugated bosonic Pauli matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^1)_{\alpha\dot\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} k_b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma^2)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ k_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\sigma^3)_{\alpha\dot\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k_b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma^4)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_b \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

with k_b being undetermined at the moment.

Starting from the ansatz (82) instead, we obtain the 'conjugated' bosonic Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde\sigma^1)_{\dot\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde k_b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde\sigma^2)_{\dot\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde k_b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\tilde\sigma^3)_{\dot\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tilde k_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde\sigma^4)_{\dot\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde k_b \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

However, (81) and (82) are not really different since the two spinors x^i and $\tilde x^i$ commute with each other [cf. the first identity in (91)]. On these grounds, it should hold

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = (\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\beta\alpha} = (\tilde\sigma^\mu)^T_{\alpha\dot\beta}, \tag{94}$$

if we set $k_b = \tilde k_b$.

A short look at (92) and (93) tells us that the Pauli matrices of four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space take on a rather simple form. The reason for this lies in the fact that the vector coordinates of four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space are given by (53) or (54) (up to additional factors). If we choose $k_b = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X^1 &= X^{1\dot 1} = X^{\dot 1 1}, \qquad X^2 = X^{1\dot 2} = X^{\dot 2 1}, \\
X^3 &= X^{2\dot 1} = X^{\dot 1 2}, \qquad X^4 = -X^{2\dot 2} = -X^{\dot 2 2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

We can repeat the same steps as above for antisymmetrized spinors. The only thing we have to do is to replace symmetrized spinors in (81) and (82) with antisymmetrized ones. As already mentioned both types of spinors behave in the same way under transformations of $U_q(so(4))$. Hence, we should get the same matrices as for symmetrized spinors, apart from different normalizations k_f and $\tilde{k}_f$. Since θ^i anticommutes with $\tilde{\theta}^j$ [cf. the second identity in (91)] we should have $k_f = -\tilde{k}_f$. As we will see later on, it is not convenient to incorporate the minus sign into the 'conjugated' Pauli matrices. In the case of antisymmetrized spinors it would be better to redefine the 'conjugated' Pauli matrices:

$$X^\mu = -\sum_{\dot{\alpha}=1,\,\beta=1}^{2} \tilde{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \theta^\beta. \tag{96}$$

In this manner we have $k_f = \tilde{k}_f$. The advantage of the new definition becomes apparent, if we realize that we are free to choose the values for k_b and k_f, so we take the convention $k_b = k_f = 1$. Now, there is no difference between the bosonic and the fermionic Pauli matrices, thus we can restrict ourselves to the bosonic ones.

Let us recall that our Pauli matrices combine two spinors to give a vector. We can also ask for matrices that allow to switch from vector coordinates X^μ to a tensor product of two spinors. This problem leads us to the so-called '*inverse*' Pauli matrices $(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$. It is important to notice that these matrices are *not* inverse to the matrices σ^μ in the sense of matrix multiplication. The terminus '*inverse*' shall remind us of the fact that the matrices σ_μ^{-1} give rise to mappings from vectorial to spinorial objects. This way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &\equiv x^\alpha \tilde{x}^{\dot{\beta}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} X^\mu (\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \\ X^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} &\equiv \tilde{x}^{\dot{\alpha}} x^\beta = \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} X^\mu (\tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Remarkably, the 'inverse' Pauli matrices are identical with the Pauli matrices in (92) and (93):

$$(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}. \tag{98}$$

These identifications are a characteristic feature of the q-deformed Euclidean space in four dimensions, since for q-deformed Minkowski space the situation is more involved, as we will see later on.

4.3.2 Elementary properties of Pauli matrices

The matrices σ^μ and σ_μ^{-1} as well as their 'conjugated' counterparts fulfill certain orthogonality and completeness relations, which are a direct consequence of the definitions of the Pauli matrices. The orthogonality relations read

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}(\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\beta} = \delta_\nu^\mu, \qquad (\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta}(\tilde\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\dot\alpha\beta} = \delta_\nu^\mu, \tag{99}$$

and the completeness relations take the form

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha'\dot\beta'} = \delta_\alpha^{\alpha'}\,\delta_{\dot\beta}^{\dot\beta'}, \qquad (\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta}(\tilde\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\dot\alpha'\beta'} = \delta_{\dot\alpha}^{\dot\alpha'}\,\delta_\beta^{\beta'}. \tag{100}$$

In addition to this we have

$$(\tilde\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\dot\alpha\beta}(\sigma^\mu)_{\gamma\dot\delta} = \delta_\gamma^{\dot\alpha}\,\delta_{\dot\delta}^{\beta}, \qquad (\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\beta}(\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\dot\gamma}^{\alpha}\,\delta_\delta^{\dot\beta}, \tag{101}$$

as can be proven by inserting the explicit form of the Pauli matrices.

There are q-analogs of trace formulae for Pauli matrices [34]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\sigma^\mu\tilde\sigma^\nu) &\equiv \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}(\tilde\sigma^\nu)_{\dot\beta'\alpha'} = -g^{\mu\nu},\\
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\tilde\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu) &\equiv \varepsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\beta\beta'}(\sigma^\nu)_{\beta'\dot\alpha'} = -g^{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\sigma_\mu^{-1}\tilde\sigma_\nu^{-1}) &\equiv \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha'\dot\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}(\tilde\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\dot\beta'\alpha} = -g_{\mu\nu},\\
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\tilde\sigma_\mu^{-1}\sigma_\nu^{-1}) &\equiv \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\tilde\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\dot\alpha'\beta}\varepsilon_{\beta\beta'}(\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha} = -g_{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the metric of the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space (see also App. A). Notice that the relations in (103) follow from those in (102) by means of Eqs. (4) and (98) together with the identity $g^{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$.

Next, let us say some words about raising and lowering indices of Pauli matrices. We assume that a four-vector can be build up from spinors in the following ways:

$$\begin{aligned}
X^\mu &= x^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\tilde x^{\dot\beta} = x_\alpha(\sigma^\mu)^{\alpha\dot\beta}\tilde x_{\dot\beta}\\
&= x_\alpha(\sigma^\mu)^{\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\tilde x^{\dot\beta} = x^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta}\tilde x_{\dot\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

Since we can apply the spinor metric to raise and lower indices of spinors [cf. Eq. (6)] it follows

$$X^\mu = \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'}x_{\alpha'}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}\tilde x_{\dot\beta'}$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'} x_{\alpha'} (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \tilde{x}^{\dot{\beta}} = x^\alpha (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\beta}'} \tilde{x}_{\dot{\beta}'}. \tag{105}$$

Comparing (104) with (105) yields

$$\begin{gathered}
(\sigma^\mu)^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot{\beta}'} \varepsilon^{\alpha'\alpha} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^\mu)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} = (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon^{\alpha'\alpha}, \qquad (\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} = (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot{\beta}'} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\beta}},
\end{gathered} \tag{106}$$

i.e. raising and lowering of spinor indices of the Pauli matrices σ^μ is different to that of spinor coordinates. Similar arguments lead us to

$$\begin{gathered}
(\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta'} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{\beta'\beta}, \\
(\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} = (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta'} \varepsilon^{\beta'\beta}.
\end{gathered} \tag{107}$$

Next, we come to the behavior of the vector index of the matrices σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}_\mu$. It should hold

$$X_\mu = x^\alpha (\sigma_\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \tilde{x}^{\dot{\beta}} = \tilde{x}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta. \tag{108}$$

On the other hand we have

$$X_\mu (= g_{\mu\nu} X^\nu) = g_{\mu\nu} x^\alpha (\sigma^\nu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \tilde{x}^{\dot{\beta}} = g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{x}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}^\nu)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta. \tag{109}$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\sigma_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}\sigma^\nu$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^\nu$, so the vector indices of the Pauli matrices σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ are raised and lowered in the same way as indices of vector coordinates.

The above considerations about raising and lowering of indices of the Pauli matrices σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ can be adapted to 'inverse' Pauli matrices. In doing so, we find that the spinor indices of σ_μ^{-1} and $\tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{-1}$ follow the convention given in Eq. (6), but the vector indices of these matrices are now raised according to

$$(\sigma^{-1})^\mu = \sigma_\nu^{-1} g^{\nu\mu}, \qquad (\tilde{\sigma}^{-1})^\mu = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu^{-1} g^{\nu\mu}. \tag{110}$$

4.3.3 Relations for products of Pauli matrices

Using the index conventions of the previous subsection we define matrix multiplication of Paul matrices as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu &\equiv (\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu)_\alpha{}^\beta = (\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot{\gamma}} (\tilde{\sigma})_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^\beta, \\
\tilde{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu &\equiv (\tilde{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = (\tilde{\sigma})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\gamma (\sigma^\mu)_\gamma{}^{\dot{\beta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

In the remainder of section 4.3 it is understood that the Pauli matrices σ^μ have the index structure $(\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta}$, if not stated otherwise. Products of σ-matrices are then multiplied according to (111).

With this matrix multiplication at hand, we are now able to write down q-analogs of some standard relations in a rather compact form. First, symmetrizing the product of a Pauli matrix with a 'conjugated' one is equal to zero, i.e.

$$(P_S)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\tilde\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'} = (P_S)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\sigma^{\mu'}\tilde\sigma^{\nu'} = 0, \tag{112}$$

where P_S denotes the symmetric projector of the four-dimensional Euclidean space. Its explicit form can be obtained from (63) and the general conversion formulae (see also the discussion in Sec. 5)

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\mu'\ldots}{}_{\nu\nu'\ldots} &= (\sigma^\mu)_{i\tilde j}(\sigma^\nu)_{i'\tilde j'}\ldots T^{(i\tilde j)(i'\tilde j')\ldots}{}_{(k\tilde l)(k'\tilde l')\ldots}(\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{k\tilde l}(\sigma_{\nu'}^{-1})^{k'\tilde l'} \\ &= (\tilde\sigma^\mu)_{\tilde\imath j}(\tilde\sigma^\nu)_{\tilde\imath' j'}\ldots T^{(\tilde\imath j)(\tilde\imath' j')\ldots}{}_{(\tilde k l)(\tilde k' l')\ldots}(\tilde\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\tilde k l}(\tilde\sigma_{\nu'}^{-1})^{\tilde k' l'}. \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

The identities in (112) become clear from the following representation theoretic argument. The index structure of the product $(\sigma^\mu\tilde\sigma^\nu)_\alpha{}^\beta$ should tell us that it behaves like a spinorial object living in the representation space

$$(1/2,0)\otimes(1/2,0) = (1,0)\oplus(0,0). \tag{114}$$

The point now is that P_S projects onto the representation $(1,1)$ which is not contained in (114).

By means of the projector decomposition (62) and the trace formulae of (102) the identities in (112) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^\mu\tilde\sigma^\nu)_\alpha{}^\beta &= -q\,\hat R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(\sigma^{\mu'}\tilde\sigma^{\nu'})_\alpha{}^\beta + q^{-1}g^{\mu\nu}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta, \\ (\tilde\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu)_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta} &= -q\,\hat R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(\tilde\sigma^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'})_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta} + q^{-1}g^{\mu\nu}\delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

where $\hat R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}$ is the $\hat R$-matrix of the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. In part two of this paper the above relations will lead us to the Clifford algebra for q-deformed γ-matrices. Notice that applying the substitutions $\hat R \to \hat R^{-1}$ and $q \to q^{-1}$ to (115) gives equally good relations.

In analogy to the undeformed case, the product of a Pauli matrix with a 'conjugated' one can be decomposed into a symmetric and an antisymmetric part, since we have

$$\sigma^\mu\tilde\sigma^\nu = \lambda_+^{-1}g^{\mu\nu} -\ q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}g_{\sigma\gamma}g_{\rho\delta}\sigma^\gamma\tilde\sigma^\delta,$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} = \lambda_+^{-1} g^{\mu\nu} + \ q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} g_{\sigma\gamma} g_{\rho\delta}\tilde{\sigma}^{\gamma}\sigma^{\delta}, \tag{116}$$

with $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ being the epsilon tensor for the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space [cf. (284) in App. A]. In this way the equalities of (116) reflect the decomposition in (114). Notice that in the first summand on the right-hand side we omitted the Kronecker delta in spinor space. Contracting the two vector indices by the quantum metric enables us to extract the symmetric part contained in the products of (116):

$$g_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} = \lambda_+ \mathbb{1}. \tag{117}$$

The product of three Pauli matrices reduces to linear combinations of Pauli matrices. The classical formulae inspired us to make the ansaetze

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\rho} = \ & k_1\, g^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\rho} + k_2\, g^{\nu\rho}\sigma^{\mu} \\ & -\ \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'} g^{\nu'\rho}\sigma^{\mu'} - q^{-2}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} g_{\sigma\lambda}\sigma^{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho} = \ & k_1\, g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho} + k_2\, g^{\nu\rho}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} \\ & -\ \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'} g^{\nu'\rho}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu'} + q^{-2}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} g_{\sigma\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

For both formulae the coefficients k_1, k_2 take as values $k_1 = q^{-1}$, $k_2 = q$. Further relations are obtained from the above ones by replacing $\hat{R}$ with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ and performing the interchange $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$.

Next, we turn to trace formulae for products of four Pauli matrices. They should be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma^{\lambda}) &= 2(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma^{\lambda})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &= k_1\, g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\lambda} + k_2\, g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} \\ &\quad +\ g^{\mu\rho'}\hat{R}^{\nu\rho}{}_{\rho'\nu'} g^{\nu'\lambda} + q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\rho}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda}) &= 2(\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\rho}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda})^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha} \\ &= k_1\, g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\lambda} + k_2\, g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} \\ &\quad +\ g^{\mu\rho'}\hat{R}^{\nu\rho}{}_{\rho'\nu'} g^{\nu'\lambda} - q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

Here, we find that $k_1 = -q$, $k_2 = -q^{-1}$ is a consistent choice. Again the interchanges $\hat{R} \leftrightarrow \hat{R}^{-1}$ together with $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$ give a second set of relations.

Contraction of the four-dimensional epsilon tensor with a product of four Pauli matrices finally yields the scalars

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} = -q^{-1}[[2]]_{q^2}[[3]]_{q^2}\mathbb{1},$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda}\sigma^{\rho}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\mu} = q^{-1}[[2]]_{q^2}[[3]]_{q^2}\mathbb{1}, \tag{122}$$

as can be proven by insertion.

At last, we wish to write down a trace expansion for an arbitrary 2×2 matrix $A_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = {} & \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_1^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^1)^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_2^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^2)^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ & + \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_3^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^3)^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_4^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^4)^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

Notice that we have to take the standard trace and not the quantum trace. The above identity is a direct consequence of completeness relation (100).

4.3.4 The spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$

Definition: In the undeformed case the spin matrices are defined as antisymmetrized products of two Pauli matrices. This definition pertains in the q-deformed case. With P_A being the antisymmetric projector of the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space the q-deformed spin matrices take the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} &= (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\sigma^{\kappa}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \\ (\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} &= (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa}\sigma^{\lambda})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} &= (P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^{-1}_{\kappa}\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\lambda})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \\ (\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} &= (P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\kappa}\sigma^{-1}_{\lambda})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Raising of indices and matrix multiplication works in exactly the same manner as for the Pauli matrices.

We would like to list the non-vanishing matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$, explicitly:

$$\sigma^{13} = \tilde{\sigma}^{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^{14} = \tilde{\sigma}^{14} = \lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma^{23} = \tilde{\sigma}^{32} = q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^{24} = \tilde{\sigma}^{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma^{31} = \tilde{\sigma}^{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^{32} = \tilde{\sigma}^{23} = q\lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma^{41} = \tilde{\sigma}^{41} = \lambda_+^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^{42} = \tilde{\sigma}^{43} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{126}$$

The reader may realize that the two types of spin matrices differ from one another by an interchange of the vector indices 2 and 3.

Fundamental properties: Next, we come to some fundamental properties of the spin matrices. First of all, the spin matrices are antisymmetric in their vector indices, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\, \sigma^{\mu'\nu'} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\, \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu'\nu'} = \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \\ (P_A)^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}\, \sigma^{-1}_{\mu'\nu'} &= \sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (P_A)^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}\, \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu'\nu'} = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

This property is a direct consequence of the definitions in (124) and (125). We know that the projector P_A of the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space splits into a self-dual and an antiself-dual part, denoted by P_+ and P_-, respectively. P_- projects onto the representation $(1,0)$. In the same manner, P_+ corresponds to the representation $(0,1)$. Essentially for us is the fact that the spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ respectively organize mappings of the representations $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ onto themselves. In this manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\rho\lambda} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\rho\lambda} = 0, \\ (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} &= \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

With the remarkable identity

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} = g_{\rho'\rho} g_{\lambda'\lambda} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho'\lambda'} = q^2\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}), \tag{129}$$

we get from (128) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\rho\lambda} &= q^2\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda})\sigma^{\rho\lambda} = q^2\lambda_+\sigma^{\rho\lambda}, \\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} &= q^2\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda})\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} = -q^2\lambda_+\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

The conjunction between spin matrices and the representations $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ also shows up in the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} &= \lambda_+ S^{\gamma\delta}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_+ S^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \\ (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta} &= \lambda_+ S^{\gamma\delta}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = \lambda_+ S^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

and

$$(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = 0,$$

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = 0, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta} = 0, \tag{132}$$

where S denotes the symmetric projector for the quantum plane [cf. (35)]. Notice that S corresponds to the spin-1 representation of $U_q(su(2))$, while the spinor metric corresponds to the spin-0 representation of $U_q(su(2))$. Thus, we additionally have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \\
&(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

which can also be written as

$$\mathrm{Tr}_q\, \sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\, \sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\, \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\, \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{134}$$

In other words, spin matrices are traceless and symmetric in their spinor indices.

The identities in (131) describe a kind of completeness on the space onto which S projects. In a similar fashion, but now with spinor indices being contracted, we have

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{-1}_{\rho\lambda})^{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_+(P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}, \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}_{\rho\lambda})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_+(P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}. \tag{135}$$

Once again, these relations confirm the observation that the spin matrices are linked to the representations $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$.

Relations concerning spin matrices: There are numerous rearrangement formulae including spin matrices that could prove useful in physical applications. It is our aim to write down q-analogs of such formulae. (For their undeformed counterparts we refer the reader to Refs. [41], [54], and [55], for example.) In this manner, we found

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu\nu} &= -\lambda_+^{-1}g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}, \\
\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= -\lambda_+^{-1}g^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}g^{\nu'\lambda}\sigma^{\mu'} - \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\rho}, \\
\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}g^{\nu'\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu'} + \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho}, \\
\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\nu\lambda}{}_{\nu'\lambda'}g^{\mu\nu'}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda'} + \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho},
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\nu\lambda}{}_{\nu'\lambda'}g^{\mu\nu'}\tilde{\sigma}^{\lambda'} - \ \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho}, \\
\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\kappa\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}g^{\mu'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'} - \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \\
&\quad + \ \lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'}\sigma^{\mu'\lambda'}, \\
\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}g^{\mu'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'} + \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \\
&\quad + \ \lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu'\lambda'}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{136}
$$

In addition to this, there are some formulae concerning contraction of vector indices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^{\rho})_{\beta\dot{\beta}}\, g_{\nu\rho} &= -\varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\rho\mu})_{\beta\beta'}\, g_{\nu\rho} &= -\varepsilon_{\beta'\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon_{\beta\beta'}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{137}
$$

Through the interchanges $\sigma^{\mu} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}$ and $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ one gets further relations from (137). Moreover, we could verify the trace formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_q(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\kappa\lambda}) &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\, g^{\mu'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'} - \ \frac{1}{2q^2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}, \\
\mathrm{Tr}_q(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda}) &= \frac{\lambda_+}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\, g^{\mu'\lambda'}g^{\nu'\kappa'} + \ \frac{1}{2q^2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{138}
$$

Last but not least, we would like to give the following very useful formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma^{\nu})_{\beta\dot{\beta}} &= k_1\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\, g^{\mu\nu} + k_2\, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + k_3\, \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + \ k_4\, (\sigma^{\mu\rho})_{\alpha\beta}\, g_{\rho\kappa}(\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{139}
$$

where the constants take on the values $k_1 = -\lambda_+^{-2}$, $k_2 = k_3 = -\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_4 = -1$. Applying the interchanges $\sigma^{\mu} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}$ and $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ yields another q-deformed Fierz identity. Notice that the formula in (139) describes how a tensor product of two four-vectors decomposes into its irreducible constituents.

4.4 Pauli matrices for the q-deformed Minkowski space

4.4.1 Computation of Pauli matrices

From a physical point of view q-deformed Minkowski space is one of the most important quantum spaces. In this section, we will consider its Pauli and spin matrices in some detail. Once again, we begin with explicit calculation of Pauli matrices. This requires to know how the generators of the q-deformed Lorentz algebra commute with the spinors x^α and $\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. In the first case, we have [24]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^1 x^1 &= x^1 \tau^1, \\
\tau^1 x^2 &= x^2 \tau^1 - q\lambda^2 x^1 T^2, \\
T^2 x^1 &= q^{-1} x^1 T^2, \\
T^2 x^2 &= q x^2 T^2, \\
S^1 x^1 &= q x^1 S^1, \\
S^1 x^2 &= q^{-1} x^2 S^1 - x^1 \sigma^2, \\
\sigma^2 x^1 &= x^1 \sigma^2, \\
\sigma^2 x^2 &= x^2 \sigma^2,
\end{aligned}
\qquad (140)
$$

where the elements τ^1, σ^2, T^2, S^1 together with the generators of $U_q(su(2))$ span the q-deformed Lorentz algebra. For conjugated spinors the corresponding commutation relations read as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^1 \bar{x}^1 &= q^{-1} \bar{x}^1 \tau^1, \\
\tau^1 \bar{x}^2 &= q \bar{x}^2 \tau^1, \\
T^2 \bar{x}^1 &= \bar{x}^1 T^2 + q^{-1} \bar{x}^2 \tau^1, \\
T^2 \bar{x}^2 &= \bar{x}^2 T^2, \\
S^1 \bar{x}^1 &= \bar{x}^1 S^1, \\
S^1 \bar{x}^2 &= \bar{x}^2 S^1, \\
\sigma^2 \bar{x}^1 &= q \bar{x}^1 \sigma^2 + \lambda^2 \bar{x}^2 S^1, \\
\sigma^2 \bar{x}^2 &= q^{-1} \bar{x}^2 \sigma^2.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (141)
$$

We have not written down the relations of the generators of $U_q(su(2))$ with the spinors x^α and $\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, since they take the same form as in (83). These commutation relations make the quantum planes to the spinors x^α and $\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ into module algebras of the q-deformed Lorentz algebra. The same assertion is valid if we consider the quantum planes to the antisymmetrized spinors θ^α and $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$.

The commutation relations between Lorentz generators and spinors are completely determined by the following two requirements. First, the Lorentz generators have to commute with the relations of the symmetrized and the antisymmetrized quantum plane, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(x^1x^2 - qx^2x^1) &= (x^1x^2 - qx^2x^1)T, \\
T(\bar{x}^1\bar{x}^2 - q\bar{x}^2\bar{x}^1) &= (\bar{x}^1\bar{x}^2 - q\bar{x}^2\bar{x}^1)T, \\
T(\theta^1\theta^2 + q^{-1}\theta^2\theta^1) &= (\theta^1\theta^2 + q^{-1}\theta^2\theta^1)T, \\
T(\bar{\theta}^1\bar{\theta}^2 + q^{-1}\bar{\theta}^2\bar{\theta}^1) &= (\bar{\theta}^1\bar{\theta}^2 + q^{-1}\bar{\theta}^2\bar{\theta}^1)T, \\
T^i\theta^\alpha\theta^\alpha = T\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= 0, \quad \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \in \{1, 2\}, \qquad (142)
\end{aligned}$$

where T stands for a generator from the set $\{T^+,\, T^-,\, \tau^3,\, \tau^1,\, \sigma^2,\, S^1,\, T^2\}$. Second, the commutation relations between Lorentz generators and spinors should respect the braiding between spinors and their conjugates [cf. Eqs. (49) and (50)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}x^\beta - q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}x^{\beta'}\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}'}) &= (\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}}x^\beta - q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}x^{\beta'}\bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}'})T, \\
T(\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\theta^\beta + q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\theta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}) &= (\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\theta^\beta + q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\theta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'})T. \qquad (143)
\end{aligned}$$

Following the line of reasonings described in sections 4.1 and 4.3.1 we calculate the explicit form of the Pauli matrices for q-deformed Minkowski space. In doing so, we find the matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^+)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= k\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, & (\sigma^3)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= k\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\sigma^-)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= k\begin{pmatrix} q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & (\sigma^0)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= k\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (144)
\end{aligned}$$

and their conjugated counterparts

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\sigma}^+)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \bar{k}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, & (\bar{\sigma}^3)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \bar{k}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\bar{\sigma}^-)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \bar{k}\begin{pmatrix} q^{-1/2}\lambda_+^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & (\bar{\sigma}^0)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \bar{k}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (145)
\end{aligned}$$

Again, our results depend on the parameters $k \in \{k_b, k_f\}$ and $\bar{k} = \{\bar{k}_b, \bar{k}_f\}$. Their values are restricted by the braidings between spinors and their conjugates. For symmetrized and antisymmetrized spinors these braidings are respectively given by (49) and (50). As was already mentioned, the relations describing the braiding between spinors and their conjugates enable us to transform the two sets of σ-matrices into each other. In this manner, we obtain by means of (49) and (50) that

$$(\sigma_b^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = q\,(\sigma_f^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}, \qquad (\bar\sigma_b^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta} = q^{-1}\,(\bar\sigma_f^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta}, \tag{146}$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\bar k_b = q^{-1}k_b, \qquad \bar k_f = qk_f. \tag{147}$$

Notice that for the fermionic Pauli matrices we used definition (96). As we will see later on, the choices

$$k_b = q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}, \qquad k_f = q^{-1/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2} \tag{148}$$

lead to simplifications in what follows.

Now, we write down the bosonic Pauli matrices in their final form:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^+)_{\alpha\dot\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\sigma^3)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = q\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\sigma^-)_{\alpha\dot\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\sigma^0)_{\alpha\dot\beta} = \lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1/2} \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

Likewise, the conjugated Pauli matrices are:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar\sigma^+)_{\dot\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\bar\sigma^3)_{\dot\alpha\beta} = q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(\bar\sigma^-)_{\dot\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\bar\sigma^0)_{\dot\alpha\beta} = \lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \\ -q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

In the remainder of this section will concentrate our attention on the bosonic Pauli matrices.

A short look at (81) and (82) tells us that the Pauli matrices give us the decomposition of a four-vector into a tensor product of spinors. With (149) and (150) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
X^+ &= qx^2\bar x^{\dot 2}, \\
X^3 &= \lambda_+^{-1/2}(q^{3/2}x^1\bar x^{\dot 2} + q^{1/2}x^2\bar x^{\dot 1}), \\
X^0 &= \lambda_+^{-1/2}(-q^{-1/2}x^1\bar x^{\dot 2} + q^{1/2}x^2\bar x^{\dot 1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$X^{-} = qx^{1}\bar{x}^{\dot{1}}, \tag{151}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X^{+} &= q^{-1}\bar{x}^{\dot{1}}x^{1}, \\ X^{3} &= \lambda_{+}^{-1/2}(q^{-1/2}\bar{x}^{\dot{1}}x^{2} + q^{-3/2}\bar{x}^{\dot{2}}x^{1}), \\ X^{0} &= \lambda_{+}^{-1/2}(q^{-1/2}\bar{x}^{\dot{1}}x^{2} - q^{1/2}\bar{x}^{\dot{2}}x^{1}), \\ X^{-} &= q^{-1}\bar{x}^{\dot{1}}x^{1}. \end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

It is our next aim to invert the equations in (151) and (152) in order to express tensor products of two spinor components by vector components. In doing so, we obtain relations from which we can read off the explicit form of the 'inverse' Pauli matrices:

$$X^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = X^{\mu}(\sigma_{\mu}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \qquad X^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = X^{\mu}(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}, \tag{153}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{+}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\sigma_{3}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = q^{-1}\lambda_{+}^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\sigma_{-}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\sigma_{0}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_{+}^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1/2} \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\sigma}_{+}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}_{3}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = q\lambda_{+}^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\bar{\sigma}_{-}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}_{0}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \lambda_{+}^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \\ -q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

As in Sec. 4.3.1, the reader should not confuse the terminus 'inverse' with being inverse in the sense of matrix multiplication. If we consider anti-symmetrized spinors we have to deal with inverse Pauli matrices that are connected with the bosonic ones via

$$\sigma_{f,\mu}^{-1} = q\,\sigma_{b,\mu}^{-1}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}_{f,\mu}^{-1}) = q^{-1}\,\bar{\sigma}_{b,\mu}^{-1}. \tag{156}$$

4.4.2 Elementary properties of Pauli matrices

From a direct inspection of the expressions for Pauli matrices one can read off the following rules between the entries of Pauli matrices and their conjugated counterparts:

$$(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (-1)^{\delta_{0\mu}}(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})^{-1}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = (-1)^{\delta_{0\mu}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \tag{157}$$

where $\delta_{0\mu}$ denotes a Kronecker delta. These rules correspond to the conjugation properties of four-vectors given by

$$\overline{X^{\mu}} = (-1)^{\delta_{0\mu}} X_{\mu}. \tag{158}$$

Now we come to some orthogonality and completeness relations for our matrices. Orthogonality becomes

$$(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\nu})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}. \tag{159}$$

For completeness we have

$$(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})^{\alpha'\dot{\beta}'} = \delta^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}'\beta'} = \delta^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\beta'}_{\beta}. \tag{160}$$

Applying relation (49) to formula (81) we are able to commute spinors with their conjugates and should obtain formula (82). For this to be true we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\gamma}\delta} &= q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}\delta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\gamma\dot{\delta}} &= q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{161}$$

if $\hat{R}$ stands for the $\hat{R}$-matrix of $U_q(su(2))$. From the orthonormality and completeness relations we then conclude that for the inverse Pauli matrices it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})_{\dot{\gamma}\delta} &= q\hat{R}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}\delta}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})_{\gamma\dot{\delta}} &= q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

and

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\gamma\dot{\delta}} = q(\hat{R})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\gamma\dot{\delta}},$$

$$(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\beta}(\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\gamma\delta} = q^{-1}(\hat R^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\gamma\delta}. \tag{163}$$

The corresponding formulae for fermionic Pauli matrices follow from exploiting relations (146) and (156).

In very much the same way as was done for the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space we introduce a quantum trace for q-deformed Minkowski space. This quantum trace taken for certain products of two q-deformed Pauli matrices can be expressed in terms of the quantum metric of q-deformed Minkowski space:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\sigma^\mu\bar\sigma^\nu) &\equiv \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}(\bar\sigma^\nu)_{\dot\beta'\alpha'} = -\eta_{\mu\nu}, \\
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\bar\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu) &\equiv \varepsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\beta\beta'}(\sigma^\nu)_{\beta'\dot\alpha'} = -\eta_{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\sigma_\mu^{-1}\bar\sigma_\nu^{-1}) &\equiv \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}(\bar\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\dot\beta'\alpha'} = -\eta_{\mu\nu}, \\
\mathrm{Tr}_q\,(\bar\sigma_\mu^{-1}\sigma_\nu^{-1}) &\equiv \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\bar\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\dot\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\beta\beta'}(\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha'} = -\eta_{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

The Pauli matrices for q-deformed Minkowski space were introduced in very much the same way as was done for the four dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. Thus, the considerations about rasing and lowering of indices in Sec. 4.3.2 carry over to q-deformed Minkowski space with some minor modifications. Once again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X^\mu &= x^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\bar x^{\dot\beta} = x_\alpha(\sigma^\mu)^{\alpha\dot\beta}\bar x_{\dot\beta} \\
&= x_\alpha(\sigma^\mu)^\alpha{}_{\dot\beta}\bar x^{\dot\beta} = x^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta}\bar x_{\dot\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{166}$$

Lowering indices of spinor coordinates according to Eqs. (6) and (10) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
X^\mu &= \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'}x_{\alpha'}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}(-\varepsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}\bar x_{\dot\beta'}) \\
&= \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'}x_{\alpha'}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}\,\bar x^{\dot\beta} = x^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\beta}(-\varepsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}\bar x_{\dot\beta'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{167}$$

Comparing (166) with (167) we obtain the rules for raising the spinorial indices of Pauli matrices of q-deformed Minkowski space. Similar considerations hold for the conjugated Pauli matrices. In this manner, we should arrive at

$$\begin{gathered}
(\sigma^\mu)^{\alpha\dot\beta} = -(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot\beta'}\varepsilon^{\alpha'\alpha}\varepsilon^{\dot\beta'\dot\beta}, \\
(\sigma^\mu)^\alpha{}_{\dot\beta} = (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha'\alpha}, \qquad (\sigma^\mu)_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta} = -(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot\beta'}\varepsilon^{\dot\beta'\dot\beta},
\end{gathered} \tag{168}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} &= -(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta'}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\alpha}}\varepsilon^{\beta'\beta}, \\
(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\alpha}}, &\qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}'\beta'}\varepsilon^{\beta'\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{169}
$$

Contrary to the Euclidean case we have to take care of a minus sign if a dotted index is lowered. The reason for this lies in the fact that spinors with dotted indices are now subject to (10). Finally, the Lorentz index is raised and lowered by applying the q-deformed Minkowski metric η [cf. (287)], i.e. we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu} &= \eta^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\nu}, &\qquad \sigma_{\mu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\nu}, \\
\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} &= \eta^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}_{\nu}, &\qquad \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{170}
$$

Now, we come to the 'inverse' Pauli matrices. Their spinorial indices behave in the same way as the spinors do in Eqs. (6) and (10), but their Lorentz indices obey the rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-1}_{\mu} &= (\sigma^{-1})^{\nu}\eta_{\nu\mu}, &\qquad (\sigma^{-1})^{\mu} &= \sigma^{-1}_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}, \\
\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu} &= (\bar{\sigma}^{-1})^{\nu}\eta_{\nu\mu}, &\qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1})^{\mu} &= \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{171}
$$

4.4.3 Relations for products of Pauli matrices

Now we will present q-analogs to some well-known relations concerning products of bosonic Pauli matrices. If not stated otherwise it is always assumed that Pauli matrices have the following index structure:

$$
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = -(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\gamma}\varepsilon^{\gamma\beta}, \tag{172}
$$

$$
(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})^{\gamma\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = -\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})^{\dot{\gamma}\beta}. \tag{173}
$$

Moreover, multiplication of Pauli matrices is understood as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} &\equiv (\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = (\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}(\bar{\sigma}^{\nu})_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\beta}, \\
\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} &\equiv (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma}(\sigma^{\nu})_{\gamma}{}^{\dot{\beta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{174}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-1}_{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\nu} &\equiv (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\nu})_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\beta}, \\
\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu}\sigma^{-1}_{\nu} &\equiv (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu}\sigma^{-1}_{\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma}(\sigma^{-1}_{\nu})_{\gamma}{}^{\dot{\beta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{175}
$$

The reasonings leading to the following relations are in complete analogy to those in Sec. 4.3.3. Thus, we can restrict ourselves to stating the results, only. Symmetrizing a product of Pauli matrices in its Lorentz indices gives zero:

$$(P_S)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'} = (P_S)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu'} = 0. \tag{176}$$

Together with the projector decomposition (76) and the trace formulae in (164) the last identities imply

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} &= -\,\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu'} - q\,\eta^{\mu\nu}, \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} &= -\,\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'}\sigma^{\nu'} - q\,\eta^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

Notice that in the above formulae we are allowed to replace $\hat{R}$ with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ and at the same time q with q^{-1}.

The product of two Pauli matrices splits into an antisymmetric and a symmetric part. In this sense we found

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} &= -\,\lambda_{+}^{-1}\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1} + \,q^{2}\lambda_{+}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\gamma}\eta_{\rho\delta}\sigma^{\gamma}\bar{\sigma}^{\delta}, \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} &= -\,\lambda_{+}^{-1}\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1} - \,q^{2}\lambda_{+}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\gamma}\eta_{\rho\delta}\bar{\sigma}^{\gamma}\sigma^{\delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

where $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ denotes the epsilon tensor of q-deformed Minkowski space (for its non-vanishing components see App. A). Similar decompositions exist for products of 'inverse' Pauli matrices. They are obtained from (178) by applying the replacements

$$\sigma^{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}_{\mu}^{-1}, \qquad \eta^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}. \tag{179}$$

If we contract the Lorentz indices in (178) with a q-deformed Minkowski metric and realize that

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\mu\nu} = \lambda_{+}^{2}, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0, \tag{180}$$

we arrive at the identities

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu} = -\lambda_{+}\mathbb{1}. \tag{181}$$

Products of three Pauli matrices can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\rho} = {} & k_1\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\rho} + k_2\,\eta^{\nu\rho}\sigma^{\mu} \\ & + \,k_3\,\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\rho}\sigma^{\mu'} - q^{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\sigma^{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\,\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho} = {} & k_1\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho} + k_2\,\eta^{\nu\rho}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}, \\
& + \ k_3\,\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\rho}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'} + q^2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{182}
$$

In both formulae the coefficients k_i take on the values $k_1 = -q$, $k_2 = -q^{-1}$, $k_3 = q$. The decompositions in (182) can also be written with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ instead of $\hat{R}$. If we do so, the coefficients k_i become $k_1 = -q^{-1}$, $k_2 = -q$, $k_3 = q^{-1}$.

Next, we come to formulae for the quantum trace of products with four Pauli matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\,\mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda} = {} & k_1\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\lambda} - k_2\,\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho} \\
& + \ k_3\,\eta^{\mu\rho'}\hat{R}^{\nu\rho}{}_{\rho'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda} + q^2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}, \\
2\,\mathrm{Tr}_q\,\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma^{\lambda} = {} & k_1\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\lambda} + k_2\,\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho} \\
& + \ k_3\,\eta^{\mu\rho'}\hat{R}^{\nu\rho}{}_{\rho'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda} - q^2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{183}
$$

For this to be true we have to insert $k_1 = q^{-1}$, $k_2 = q$, $k_3 = -q$. Substituting $\hat{R}^{-1}$ for $\hat{R}$ the values of the coefficients k_i instead become $k_1 = q$, $k_2 = q^{-1}$, $k_3 = -q^{-1}$.

Last but not least, we would like to give formulae for contracting four Pauli matrices with the epsilon tensor of q-deformed Minkowski space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} &= -q^{-5}[[2]]_{q^2}[[3]]_{q^3}\mathbb{1}, \\
\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda}\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\mu} &= q^{-5}[[2]]_{q^2}[[3]]_{q^3}\mathbb{1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{184}
$$

There exist variants of the formulae in (181)-(184) that use the 'inverse' Pauli matrices σ^{-1}_{μ} and $\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu}$ instead of σ^{μ} and $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}$. They are easily derived from (181)-(184) by performing the replacements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu} \leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}_{\mu}, &\qquad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \leftrightarrow \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu}, \\
\eta^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}, &\qquad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \leftrightarrow -\varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}, \\
\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'} \leftrightarrow \hat{R}^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}, &\qquad (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'} \leftrightarrow (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{185}
$$

It should be mentioned that each 2×2 matrix $A_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ can be expanded in terms of Pauli matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\beta} = {} & \lambda_{+}^{-1/2}\mathrm{Tr}(A)\,(\sigma^{0})^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathrm{Tr}\,(\sigma_{+}^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^{+})^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\
& + \mathrm{Tr}\,(\sigma_{3}^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^{3})^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathrm{Tr}\,(\sigma_{-}^{-1}A)\,(\sigma^{-})^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{186}
$$

There is also an expansion in terms of the conjugated Pauli matrices. It follows from the above formulae via the substitutions $\sigma \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$ and $\lambda_+^{-1/2} \rightarrow -\lambda_+^{-1/2}$.

Let us end with the following remark. Now, the advantage of the convention (148) for bosonic and fermionic Pauli matrices should become obvious. It is responsible for the fact that a product of a Pauli matrix with a conjugated one gives the same result for the bosonic and the fermionic case. Thus, all of the above relations also hold for fermionic Pauli matrices.

4.4.4 Additional relations for the matrices σ^+, σ^3, σ^-

As already remarked in Sec. 4.2 the Pauli matrices for the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space constitute a subset of the Pauli matrices of q-deformed Minkowski space. Thus, there is a rather simple method to obtain relations for Pauli matrices of the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space: in the results of q-deformed Minkowski space we omit all relations with indices corresponding to the time element. In doing so, it suffices to deal with the matrices σ^A, $A \in \{+, 3, -\}$, since the 'conjugated' Pauli matrices $\bar{\sigma}^A$, $A \in \{+, 3, -\}$ differ from them by a global factor, only:

$$\bar{\sigma}_b^A = q^{-1}\sigma_b^A, \qquad \bar{\sigma}_f^A = \sigma_f^A. \tag{187}$$

Similar reasonings hold for 'inverse' Pauli matrices.

The completeness and orthogonality relations are

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_A^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^A)_{\gamma\delta} &= S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \\ (\sigma^A)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_B^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} &= \delta^A{}_B. \end{aligned} \tag{188}$$

For the undeformed counterparts of the following relations we refer the reader to Ref. [54] (see pp. 4 and 5 therein). First some simple identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^+\sigma^3 &= -q^{-2}\sigma^3\sigma^+ = \lambda_+^{-1/2}\sigma^+, \\ \sigma^-\sigma^3 &= -q^{-2}\sigma^3\sigma^- = \lambda_+^{-1/2}\sigma^-, \\ \sigma^+\sigma^- &= -q^3\,\lambda_+^{-1}\mathbb{1} - q\,\lambda_+^{-1/2}\sigma^3, \\ \sigma^-\sigma^+ &= -q\,\lambda_+^{-1}\mathbb{1} + q\,\lambda_+^{-1/2}\sigma^3, \\ (\sigma^+\sigma^-)^n &= (-1)^{n-1}q^{2(n-1)}\sigma^+\sigma^-, \\ (\sigma^-\sigma^+)^n &= (-1)^{n-1}q^{2(n-1)}\sigma^-\sigma^+. \end{aligned} \tag{189}$$

The Pauli matrices now obey the Clifford algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^A\sigma^B &= -q^4\hat{R}^{AB}{}_{A'B'}\sigma^{A'}\sigma^{B'} + q\,g^{AB},\\ \sigma^A\sigma^B &= -q^{-4}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{AB}{}_{A'B'}\sigma^{A'}\sigma^{B'} + q^3\,g^{AB},\end{aligned}\tag{190}$$

where $\hat{R}$ and g respectively denote the $\hat{R}$-matrix and the quantum metric of the three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space [26].

The formulae for decomposing products of Pauli matrices reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^A\sigma^B &= q^3\lambda_+^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{ABC}g_{CC'}\sigma^{C'} + q^2\lambda_+^{-1}g^{AB}\mathbb{1},\\ \sigma^A\sigma^B\sigma^C &= k_1\,g^{AB}\sigma^C + k_2\,g^{BC}\sigma^A\\ &\quad -\,k_2\,\hat{R}^{AB}{}_{A'B'}g^{B'C}\sigma^{A'} + q^5\,\lambda_+^{-3/2}\varepsilon^{ABC}\mathbb{1},\end{aligned}\tag{191}$$

where the coefficients k_i are given by $k_1 = \lambda_+^{-1},\ k_2 = q^4\lambda_+^{-1}$. Notice that ε^{ABC} stands for the three-dimensional q-deformed epsilon tensor [26, 53] (see also App. A). Applying the replacements $\hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{R}^{-1}$ and $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$ to the formula for the product of three Pauli matrices yields the same identity.

The trace formulae now become

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^A\sigma^B &= -\,q^{-2}g^{AB},\\ \mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^A\sigma^B\sigma^C &= -\,q^5\lambda_+^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{ABC},\\ \mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^A\sigma^B\sigma^C\sigma^D &= k_1\,g^{AB}g^{CD} + k_2\,g^{AD}g^{BC}\\ &\quad -\,k_1\,g^{AC'}\hat{R}^{BC}{}_{C'B'}g^{B'D},\end{aligned}\tag{192}$$

with $k_1 = -q^6\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_2 = -q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$. The last relation can alternatively be written down in a form obtained by the interchanges $\hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{R}^{-1}$ and $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$.

There are some Fierz identities concerning the three-dimensional Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}g_{AB}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^B)_{\gamma\delta} &= [[3]]_{q^2}\lambda_+^{-2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}\varepsilon_{\gamma''\beta''}\\ &\quad -\ \lambda_+^{-1}g_{AB}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}(\sigma^B)_{\gamma''\beta''},\\ \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^A)_{\gamma\delta} &= q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}(\sigma^A)_{\gamma''\beta''}\\ &\quad +\ \lambda_+^{-1}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}\varepsilon_{\gamma''\beta''}\\ &\quad +\ q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{ABC}g_{CC'}g_{BB'}(\sigma^{C'})_{\alpha\delta''}\\ &\quad \times\ \hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}(\sigma^{B'})_{\gamma''\beta''},\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\beta}\,\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} \;&=\; \lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}(\sigma^A)_{\gamma''\beta''} \\
&+\; \lambda_+^{-1}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\delta''}\hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}\varepsilon_{\gamma''\beta''} \\
&-\; q\lambda_+^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{ABC}g_{CC'}g_{BB'}(\sigma^{C'})_{\alpha\delta''} \\
&\times\; \hat{R}^{\gamma'\beta'}{}_{\beta\gamma}\hat{R}^{\delta'\beta''}{}_{\beta'\delta}\hat{R}^{\delta''\gamma''}{}_{\gamma'\delta'}(\sigma^{B'})_{\gamma''\beta''}. \qquad (193)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, we give an identity that transforms the three-dimensional quantum metric from a vectorial basis into a spinorial one (see also the discussion in Sec. 5):

$$
g_{AB}(\sigma^A)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^B)_{\gamma\delta} = -q^2\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} - q^2\varepsilon_{\alpha\delta}\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma}. \qquad (194)
$$

4.4.5 The spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$

Definition: In complete analogy to the classical situation we define the two-dimensional spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ of q-Minkowski space by

$$
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_\alpha{}^\beta \equiv (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\sigma^\kappa\bar{\sigma}^\lambda)_\alpha{}^\beta. \qquad (195)
$$

Matrix multiplication is understood in the sense of (175) and P_A is the antisymmetric projector of q-deformed Minkowski space. Clearly, we also have 'conjugated' as well as 'inverse' versions of the two-dimensional spin matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} &\equiv (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda}(\bar{\sigma}^\kappa\sigma^\lambda)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})_\alpha{}^\beta &\equiv (P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^{-1}_\kappa\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_\lambda)_\alpha{}^\beta, \\
(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} &\equiv (P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_\kappa\sigma^{-1}_\lambda)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}. \qquad (196)
\end{aligned}
$$

Raising and lowering spinor indices of the spin matrices $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ is determined by the rules in (106). However, if we consider 'inverse' spin matrices the behavior of their spinor indices follows from (6) and (10).

We write down the matrices in (195) that are not equal to zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{+3} &= -q\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, &\qquad \sigma^{+0} &= -q\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma^{+-} &= \lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, &\qquad \sigma^{3+} &= \lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma^{33} &= q^{-1}\lambda\lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, &\qquad \sigma^{3-} &= q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{30} &= q\lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma^{0+} &= q^{-1/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma^{03} &= -q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma^{0-} &= -q^{-3/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma^{-+} &= \lambda_+^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma^{-3} &= -q^{-3/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma^{-0} &= q^{1/2}\lambda_+^{-1/2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. & &
\end{aligned}
\tag{197}
$$

The explicit form of the other variants of spin matrices are obtained most easily by means of the correspondences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "i)"] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "iii)"'] \arrow[dr, leftrightarrow, "v)"' near end] & (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "ii)"] \\
(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "iv)"'] \arrow[ur, leftrightarrow, "vi)"' near end] & (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
i) & \bar{\sigma}^{AB} = \sigma^{AB}, & ii) & \bar{\sigma}^{AB} = -\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{AB}, \\
 & \bar{\sigma}^{A0} = -q^{-2}\sigma^{A0}, & & \bar{\sigma}^{A0} = -q^{-2}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{A0}, \\
 & \bar{\sigma}^{0A} = -q^{2}\sigma^{0A}, & & \bar{\sigma}^{0A} = -q^{2}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{0A}, \\
iii) & \sigma^{AB} = -\sigma^{-1}_{AB}, & iv) & \sigma^{-1}_{AB} = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{AB}, \\
 & \sigma^{A0} = -q^{-2}\sigma^{-1}_{A0}, & & \sigma^{-1}_{A0} = -q^{-2}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{A0}, \\
 & \sigma^{0A} = -q^{2}\sigma^{-1}_{0A}, & & \sigma^{-1}_{0A} = -q^{2}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{0A}, \\
v) & \sigma^{AB} = -\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{AB}, & vi) & \bar{\sigma}^{AB} = -\sigma^{-1}_{AB}, \\
 & \sigma^{A0} = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{A0}, & & \bar{\sigma}^{A0} = \sigma^{-1}_{A0}, \\
 & \sigma^{0A} = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{0A}, & & \bar{\sigma}^{0A} = \sigma^{-1}_{0A},
\end{array}
\tag{198}
$$

where A, $B \in \{+, 3, -\}$.

Fundamental properties: Next, we list some fundamental features of the spin matrices. First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\sigma^{\mu'\nu'} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'\nu'} = \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu},\\ (P_A)^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{-1}_{\mu'\nu'} &= \sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_A)^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu},\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

which is a consequence of the definitions in (195) and (196) together with idempotency of projectors. In view of $P_A = P_+ + P_-$ we more concretely have

$$\begin{aligned}(P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\,\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} &= \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\,\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} = 0,\\ (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\,\sigma^{\rho\lambda} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\,\sigma^{\rho\lambda} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(P_+)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\,\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\rho\lambda} &= \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_-)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\,\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\rho\lambda} = 0,\\ (P_-)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\,\sigma^{-1}_{\rho\lambda} &= \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (P_+)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\,\sigma^{-1}_{\rho\lambda} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

The spin matrices are symmetric in their spinorial indices:

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha'\beta'}S^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta} &= (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha'\beta'}S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'} = (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta},\\ (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}S^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}S^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}.\end{aligned} \tag{202}$$

Combining this result with

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}\,S^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta} = S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'}\varepsilon^{\alpha'\beta'} = 0, \tag{203}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} &= 0, \quad (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = 0,\\ (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= 0, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{204}$$

Using the quantum trace the last relations can alternatively be written as

$$\mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\,\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\,\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q\,\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{205}$$

The spin matrices are associated with spin-1 representations of $U_q(su(2))$. The symmetrizer S of $U_q(su(2))$ maps two spinors onto a space carrying a spin-1 representation. On this footing we have

$$(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha'\beta'}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_+ S^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_+ S^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}},$$

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha'\beta'} = \lambda_+ S^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'} = \lambda_+ S^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \tag{206}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{-1}_{\rho\lambda})^{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_+(P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}, \quad (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{\rho\lambda})_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_+(P_-)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}, \\
&(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\rho\lambda})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_+(P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_+(P_+)^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{207}$$

A short look at (200) and (201) tells us that the conjugated spin matrices refer to the projector P_+ and the unconjugated ones to the projector P_-. In this respect, the identities

$$P_+P_- = P_-P_+ = 0, \tag{208}$$

imply that contracting the Lorentz indices of a conjugated spin matrix with those of an unconjugated one gives zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \\
&(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = 0, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{209}$$

In analogy to the Euclidean case the antisymmetric tensor of q-deformed Minkowski space can be expressed by the projectors P_+ and P_-:

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} = \eta_{\rho'\rho}\eta_{\lambda'\lambda}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho'\lambda'} = q^{-2}\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}). \tag{210}$$

From this formula together with the identities in (200) it follows, at once, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\sigma^{\rho\lambda} &= -q^{-2}\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda})\sigma^{\rho\lambda} = q^{-2}\lambda_+\sigma^{\rho\lambda}, \\
\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} &= -q^{-2}\lambda_+((P_+)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} - (P_-)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda})\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda} = -q^{-2}\lambda_+\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{211}$$

There is a remarkable relationship between spin matrices and their 'inverse' counterparts. We found that

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = (\sigma^{-1})^{\mu\nu}_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = (\bar{\sigma}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{212}$$

and

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = (\sigma^{-1})^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = (\bar{\sigma}^{-1})^{\nu\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \tag{213}$$

These identities can be checked by making use of

$$(\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot{\beta}\alpha} = -(\bar{\sigma}^{-1})^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} = -(\sigma^{-1})^{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}. \tag{214}$$

Formulae concerning spin matrices: Next, we would like to present q-analogs of some well-known relations concerning spin and Pauli matrices (see, for example, Refs. [41], [54], and [55]). We start with

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{\mu\nu} &= \lambda_+^{-1}\eta^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu,\\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= \lambda_+^{-1}\eta^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu,\end{aligned} \tag{215}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda}\sigma^{\mu'} - \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\sigma_\rho,\\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'} + \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\bar{\sigma}_\rho,\end{aligned} \tag{216}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda} &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\nu\lambda}{}_{\nu'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu\nu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda'} - \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\bar{\sigma}_\rho,\\ \sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\lambda} &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\nu\lambda}{}_{\nu'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu\nu'}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda'} + \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\bar{\sigma}_\rho.\end{aligned} \tag{217}$$

Finally, the product of two spin matrices decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\kappa\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'} + \frac{q^2}{2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\\ &\quad -\ \lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\sigma^{\mu'\lambda'},\\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'} - \frac{q^2}{2}\lambda_+^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\\ &\quad -\ \lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu'\lambda'}.\end{aligned} \tag{218}$$

Taking the quantum trace of the last two relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_q(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\kappa\lambda}) &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'} - \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda},\\ \mathrm{Tr}_q(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda}) &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta^{\mu'\lambda'}\eta^{\nu'\kappa'} + \frac{q^2}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{219}$$

The formulae for 'inverse' matrices follow from (215)-(219) by applying the replacements in (185) together with

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'} \rightarrow (P_A)^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{220}$$

Once again, the above formulae do not depend on whether we work with bosonic or fermionic Pauli matrices. As already remarked in the previous section this is due to our thoroughly chosen conventions.

Contracting Lorentz indices with the quantum metric one can get from (215) and (217) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^{\rho})_{\beta\dot\beta}\,\eta_{\nu\rho} &= k_1\,\varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\beta} + k_2\,\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot\beta},\\
(\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(\bar\sigma^{\rho\mu})_{\dot\beta\dot\beta'}\,\eta_{\nu\rho} &= -k_1\,\varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\beta} - k_2\,\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{221}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\bar\sigma^{\rho})_{\dot\beta\beta}\,\eta_{\nu\rho} &= -k_1\,\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta}(\bar\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot\alpha\beta} - k_2\,\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\bar\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot\beta\beta},\\
(\bar\sigma^{\nu})_{\dot\alpha\alpha}(\sigma^{\rho\mu})_{\beta'\beta}\,\eta_{\nu\rho} &= k_1\,\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta}(\bar\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot\alpha\beta} + k_2\,\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}(\bar\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot\beta\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{222}$$

where $k_1 = -1$, $k_2 = -\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_3 = 1$, $k_4 = \lambda_+^{-1}$. There are also versions with 'inverse' matrices, which we simply get by the replacements

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu}, & \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} &\rightarrow -\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta},\\
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\alpha} &\rightarrow (\sigma_{\mu}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\alpha}, & (\bar\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\alpha} &\rightarrow (\bar\sigma_{\mu}^{-1})^{\alpha\dot\alpha},\\
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\alpha'} &\rightarrow (\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{-1})^{\alpha\alpha'}, & (\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'} &\rightarrow (\bar\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{-1})^{\dot\alpha\dot\alpha'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{223}$$

Now, we come to some very useful decomposition formulae involving spin matrices. First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(\sigma^{\nu})_{\beta\dot\beta} = {}& k_1\,\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'}(\hat R^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha'}{}_{\dot\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta}\eta^{\mu\nu}\\
&+ k_2\,\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'}(\hat R^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha'}{}_{\dot\alpha\beta}(\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta}\\
&+ k_3\,(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta'}(\hat R^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha'}{}_{\dot\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta}\\
&+ k_4\,(\sigma^{\mu\rho})_{\alpha\beta'}(\hat R^{-1})^{\beta'\dot\alpha'}{}_{\dot\alpha\beta}\eta_{\rho\kappa}(\bar\sigma^{\kappa\nu})_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{224}$$

where $\hat R$ and $\hat R^{-1}$ respectively denote the $\hat R$-matrix of $U_q(su(2))$ and its inverse. The values of the coefficients are $k_1 = q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$, $k_2 = q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_3 = -q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_4 = q^2$. Performing the interchanges

$$\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar\sigma, \qquad \hat R \leftrightarrow \hat R^{-1}, \tag{225}$$

we get a second decomposition formula from (224). In this case the coefficients take on the values $k_1 = -q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$, $k_2 = -q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_3 = q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_4 = q^{-2}$.

We can also find a variant of (224) with one Pauli matrix being replaced by a conjugated one:

$$(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(\bar\sigma^{\nu})_{\dot\beta\beta} = k_1\,\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\eta^{\mu\nu} + k_2\,(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ k_3\, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta''} (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{'\alpha'\dot{\beta}'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\beta''\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\beta}\beta} \\
&+ k_4\, (\sigma^{\mu\rho})_{\alpha\beta'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}{}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta''} (\bar{\sigma}^{\kappa\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'} (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\beta''\dot{\beta}'}{}_{\dot{\beta}\beta} \eta^{\rho\kappa}, \qquad (226)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_1 = -\lambda_+^{-2}$, $k_2 = -\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_3 = q\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_4 = q$. Modifying the expressions in (224) according to (225) leads us to another relation with $k_1 = -\lambda_+^{-2}$, $k_2 = \lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_3 = -q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$, $k_4 = q^{-1}$.

The above decomposition formulae can also be written in terms of 'inverse' σ-matrices. In this manner, we have to perform the following substitutions to (224), (219), and their variants obtained by (225):

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \leftrightarrow (\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \leftrightarrow (\sigma_{\mu}^{-1})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \\
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} \leftrightarrow (\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^{-1})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \qquad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \leftrightarrow (\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}, \\
\eta^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \leftrightarrow -\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}, \\
\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'} \leftrightarrow \hat{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'} \leftrightarrow (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (227)
\end{gathered}
$$

4.4.6 Additional relations for σ^{AB}

Again, we can define spin matrices also for the three-dimensional Euclidean space. For this, we use the Pauli matrices as described in Sec. 4.4.4 as well as the antisymmetric projector $(P_A)^{AB}{}_{CD}$ for the three-dimensional Euclidean space. We define

$$
\sigma^{AB} \equiv (P_A)^{AB}{}_{CD}\, \sigma^C \sigma^D, \qquad (228)
$$

with $(AB) \in \{+3, +-, 3-, 3+, -+, -3, ++, --, 33\}$. All remarks concerning conjugation, taking the 'inverse' versions or raising indices and defining matrix multiplication remain valid as described for the Minkowski case and in Sec. 4.4.4.

Comparing the resulting matrices with their corresponding Minkowski counterparts, we get

$$
\sigma^{AB}_{Eu3} = -q^2 \sigma^{AB}_{Mink}. \qquad (229)
$$

This results from the different normalization factors of the used projectors.

The completeness and orthonormality relations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_{AB}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{AB})_{\gamma\delta} &= q^{-2}(q^4+1)\lambda_+^{-1} S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \\
(\sigma^{AB})_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma_{CD}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} &= q^{-2}(q^4+1)\lambda_+^{-1} (P_A)^{AB}{}_{CD}. \qquad (230)
\end{aligned}
$$

At last, we are able to express the Pauli matrices and the spin matrices via the identifications

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^{AB})_{\alpha\beta} &= -q^{-3}\lambda_+^{1/2}\varepsilon^{ABC}g_{CD}(\sigma^D)_{\alpha\beta},\\ (\sigma^D)_{\alpha\beta} &= -q^3(q^4+1)\lambda_+^{-1/2}\varepsilon_{BAC}g^{DC}(\sigma^{AB})_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned} \tag{231}$$

5 Converting spinorial and vectorial objects with the help of Pauli matrices

After having developed the formalism of σ-matrices, we are well prepared to show how spinorial objects can be converted into vectorial ones and vice versa. Due to its importance we give a detailed description of the subject.

The metric: Let us start with conversion formulae for the quantum metric. Taking the quantum metric of q-deformed Minkowski space as it was given in (78) and (79) we have to use the following formulae to get objects with Lorentz indices:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = (\bar\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\bar\imath j}\, C_{(\bar\imath j)(\bar k l)}\, (\bar\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\bar k l}, \qquad \eta^{\mu\nu} = (\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\bar\imath j}\, C^{(\bar\imath j)(\bar k l)}\, (\bar\sigma^\nu)_{\bar k l}. \tag{232}$$

The reverse formulae are

$$C_{(\bar\imath j)(\bar k l)} = (\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\bar\imath j}\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, (\bar\sigma^\nu)_{\bar k l}, \qquad C^{(\bar\imath j)(\bar k l)} = (\bar\sigma_\mu^{-1})^{\bar\imath j}\, \eta^{\mu\nu}\, (\bar\sigma_\nu^{-1})^{\bar k l}. \tag{233}$$

Analogous formulae hold if we start from

$$C_{(i\bar\jmath)(k\bar l)} = -q^2\varepsilon_{ii'}(\hat R^{-1})^{i'\bar l'}{}_{\bar\jmath k}\varepsilon_{\bar l'\bar l}, \qquad C^{(i\bar\jmath)(k\bar l)} = -q^{-2}\varepsilon^{ii'}\hat R^{\bar\jmath k}{}_{i'\bar l'}\varepsilon^{\bar l'\bar l}, \tag{234}$$

and use Pauli matrices without a bar.

Notice that formulae (232) and (233) carry over to the Euclidean case if we substitute Pauli matrices with a bar by those with a tilde. In the case of the Euclidean space, however, the two types of Pauli matrices can be identified (see also Sec. 3), so it does not matter which type of Pauli matrices we work with.

The above reasonings show a pattern that is valid for general conversion processes: the Pauli matrices $(\sigma^\mu)_{i\bar\jmath}$ and $(\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\bar\imath j}$ convert a lower Lorentz index μ into two lower spinor indices, i.e. $(i\bar\jmath)$ and $(\bar\imath j)$, respectively [cf. (233)], or they convert two upper spinor indices $(i\bar\jmath)$ or $(\bar\imath j)$ into an upper Lorentz index μ [cf. (232)]. The 'inverse' matrices behave the other way round.

The $\hat{R}$-matrix and its projectors: From the explanations so far it should be clear how to convert the $\hat{R}$-matrix and its projectors from a spinor basis into a vectorial one and vice verse. So we only give an example:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda} &= (\sigma^{\mu})_{i\bar{j}}(\sigma^{\nu})_{k\bar{l}}\hat{R}^{(i\bar{j})(k\bar{l})}{}_{(i'\bar{j}')(k'\bar{l}')}(\sigma^{-1}_{\rho})_{i'\bar{j}'}(\sigma^{-1}_{\lambda})_{k'\bar{l}'}, \\ \hat{R}^{(i\bar{j})(k\bar{l})}{}_{(i'\bar{j}')(k'\bar{l}')} &= (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\nu})^{k\bar{l}}\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\lambda}(\sigma^{\rho})_{i'\bar{j}'}(\sigma^{\lambda})_{k'\bar{l}'}. \end{aligned} \tag{235}$$

The conversion formulae for the projectors are of the same form, i.e. in the above formulae one is allowed to replace the $\hat{R}$-matrix with the projector under consideration. The formulae apply to the four-dimensional Euclidean space as well.

The epsilon tensor: The formulae are

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} &= q^{-2}(\sigma^{\mu})_{i\bar{i}}(\sigma^{\nu})_{j\bar{j}}\varepsilon^{(i\bar{i})(j\bar{j})(k\bar{k})(l\bar{l})}(\sigma^{\rho})_{k\bar{k}}(\sigma^{\lambda})_{l\bar{l}}, \\ \varepsilon^{(i\bar{i})(j\bar{j})(k\bar{k})(l\bar{l})} &= q^{2}(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu})^{i\bar{i}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\nu})^{j\bar{j}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}(\sigma^{-1}_{\rho})^{k\bar{k}}(\sigma^{-1}_{\lambda})^{l\bar{l}}, \end{aligned} \tag{236}$$

and similar ones with conjugated Pauli matrices. Notice that the normalization factors were introduced to be consistent with the epsilon tensor from our former paper [53]. Its non-vanishing components are listed in App. A. In the case of the four-dimensional Euclidean space the same formulae hold, but with setting the prefactors equal to one.

Formulae for converting special tensors: First of all, let us recall that tensors with two spinor indices can be decomposed into an antisymmetric and a symmetric part, i.e.

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = T^{[\alpha\beta]} + T^{(\alpha\beta)} = -\lambda_{+}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}T + T^{(\alpha\beta)}, \tag{237}$$

with

$$T = \varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}T^{\alpha'\beta'}, \quad T^{(\alpha\beta)} = S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'}T^{\alpha'\beta'}. \tag{238}$$

These relations are a direct consequence of (35) and (36).

Next, we consider a tensor with two dotted and two undotted spinor indices. Its decomposition into irreducible constituents reads as

$$X^{\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \lambda_{+}^{-2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}X + \lambda_{+}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}X^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \lambda_{+}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}X^{(\alpha\beta)} + X^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, \tag{239}$$

with

$$X = \varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}X^{\alpha'\beta'\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{(\alpha\beta)} &= \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'} S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'} X^{\alpha'\beta'\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'}, \\
X^{(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta'} S^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'} X^{\alpha'\beta'\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'}, \\
X^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} &= S^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha'\beta'} S^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'} X^{\alpha'\beta'\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{240}
$$

Notice that the tensors in (240) correspond to the representations $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$, and $(1,1)$.

For a tensor transforming according to the representation $(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$ (like the field-strength tensor in electrodynamics) we have

$$
F^{\mu\nu} = f^{\mu\nu}_{(1,0)} + f^{\mu\nu}_{(0,1)}, \tag{241}
$$

with

$$
f^{\mu\nu}_{(1,0)} = \bar{k}\,(\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} F^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}, \qquad f^{\mu\nu}_{(0,1)} = k\,(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{242}
$$

The inverse spin matrices project out the constituents referring to the representations $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ [cf. (209)]:

$$
F^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \bar{k}_1 (\bar\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} F^{\mu\nu}, \qquad F^{\alpha\beta} = k_1 (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta} F^{\mu\nu}. \tag{243}
$$

The relations in (242) are consistent with those in (243) iff the constants satisfy the condition $kk_1 = \bar{k}\bar{k}_1 = \lambda_+^{-1}$. These reasonings hold for both four-dimensional spaces.

Realizing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} &= S^{\alpha'\beta'}{}_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha'\dot\alpha''} \varepsilon^{\dot\alpha''\dot\beta''} (\bar\sigma^\nu)_{\dot\beta''\beta'}, \\
(\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} &= -S^{\dot\alpha'\dot\beta'}{}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} (\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha'\alpha''} \varepsilon^{\alpha''\beta''} (\sigma^\nu)_{\beta''\dot\beta'},
\end{aligned}
\tag{244}
$$

one can also show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\mu\nu}_{(1,0)} &= -\bar{k} (\bar\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha\alpha'} \varepsilon^{\alpha'\alpha''} (\sigma^\nu)_{\alpha''\dot\beta} F^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}, \\
f^{\mu\nu}_{(0,1)} &= k (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot\alpha'} \varepsilon^{\dot\alpha'\dot\alpha''} (\bar\sigma^\nu)_{\dot\alpha''\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{245}
$$

The inversions of these identities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} &= -\bar{k}_1 (\bar\sigma^{-1}_\mu)^{\dot\alpha\beta'} \varepsilon_{\beta'\beta''} (\sigma^{-1}_\nu)^{\beta''\dot\beta} f^{\mu\nu}_{(1,0)}, \\
F^{\alpha\beta} &= k_1 (\sigma^{-1}_\mu)^{\alpha\dot\beta'} \varepsilon_{\dot\beta'\dot\beta''} (\bar\sigma^{-1}_\nu)^{\dot\beta''\beta} f^{\mu\nu}_{(0,1)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{246}
$$

The identities (241-246) hold for the four-dimensional Euclidean space, too, but with neglecting the minus sign in (244-246).

It is rather instructive to examine the irreducible constituents of an arbitrary tensor with two contravariant Lorentz indices. To this end we use the Fierz identity (224). The k_1-part of (224) projects onto a one-dimensional space. It carries the representation $(0,0)$ and refers to the quantum metric. A tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ that transforms like a scalar satisfies $T^{\mu\nu} = (P_0)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}T^{\mu'\nu'}$. With the k_1-part of (224) we find for its spinorial equivalent:

$$T^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\dot{\beta}} = k_1\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta'}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}}T, \quad T \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}. \tag{247}$$

The field-strength tensor being subject to $F^{\mu\nu} = (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}F^{\mu'\nu'}$ possesses as spinor equivalent

$$F^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\dot{\beta}} = \, k_2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta'}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}F^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}} + k_3F^{\alpha\beta'}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}}, \tag{248}$$

where the two summands again correspond to the representations $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$. Finally, we consider a symmetric tensor with $S^{\mu\nu} = (P_S)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}S^{\mu'\nu'}$. Its spinor equivalent becomes

$$S^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\dot{\beta}} = k_4(\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\rho})^{\alpha\beta'}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\kappa\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}}\eta^{\rho\kappa}S^{\mu\nu}. \tag{249}$$

The expressions in (247)-(249) hold for q-deformed Minkowski space. If we deal with four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space we have to start our considerations from the Fierz identity (139) and drop all $\hat{R}$-matrices from our results. More concretely, we simply apply the following substitutions to the results in (247)-(249):

$$\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'} \rightarrow \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}'}\delta^{\beta}_{\beta'}, \qquad (\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}{}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'} \rightarrow \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}'}\delta^{\beta}_{\beta'}. \tag{250}$$

We conclude this section with an expression for the kinetic term of electrodynamics. This requires to know the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\mu\mu'}\eta_{\nu\nu'}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{\mu'\nu'})_{\alpha'\beta'} &= -q\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'}\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha'} - q^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha''}\hat{R}^{\alpha''\beta''}{}_{\beta\alpha'}\varepsilon_{\beta''\beta'}, \\ &= -q^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'}\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha'} - q\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha''}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha''\beta''}{}_{\beta\alpha'}\varepsilon_{\beta''\beta'}.\end{aligned} \tag{251}$$

Notice that these equalities remain valid if we substitute the spin matrices on the left-hand side by their 'conjugated' counterparts. Moreover, if we apply the substitutions (227) to the expressions in (251) we obtain the corresponding identities in terms of inverse spin matrices. Notice that Eq. (251) also holds for the four-dimensional Euclidean space without any changes.

Finally, (251) enables us to express the kinetic term of electrodynamics in a spinorial basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} &= F^{\mu\nu}F^{\mu'\nu'}\eta_{\mu\mu'}\eta_{\nu\nu'} \\
&= ((\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}F^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}) \\
&\quad \times ((\bar{\sigma}^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'}F_{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'} + (\sigma^{-1}_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha'\beta'}F_{\alpha'\beta'}) \\
&= -2q(\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}'}\varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}'}F^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}F^{\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\beta}'} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta'}\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha'}F^{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha'\beta'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{252}$$

6 Fierz identities for four-dimensional q-deformed spaces

Fierz identities are rules that enable us to reorder spinors in a product of two bilinear forms. There are plenty of them, but we concentrate on q-analogs of the Fierz identities given in Ref. [42]. The main purpose within this section is to show that it is possible to write down q-deformed analogs of the most important Fierz identities. Once again, our reasonings refer to the four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space and the q-deformed Minkowski space.

Calculating q-deformed Fierz identities enforces us to fix commutation relations between different sets of spinor components. Let us say a few words about this matter. Each Fierz identity comprises four types of spinors which we shall denote by θ^i, ϕ^i, η^i, and χ^i. The bilinear forms the Fierz identities are made of contain one conjugated and one unconjugated spinor. Thus, we additionally have to take account of the conjugated spinors $\bar{\theta}^i$, $\bar{\phi}^i$, $\bar{\eta}^i$, and $\bar{\chi}^i$. (In the Euclidean case the role of the conjugated spinors is played by those with a tilde.)

Now, we come to a consistent choice of commutation relations between the different spinors. At first, components of the same spinor have to satisfy the usual quantum plane relations. If we deal with symmetrized spinors we have, for example,

$$\bar{\eta}^1\bar{\eta}^2 = q\,\bar{\eta}^2\bar{\eta}^1, \qquad \theta^1\theta^2 = q\,\theta^2\theta^1. \tag{253}$$

In the case of antisymmetrized spinors, however, the commutation relations instead become

$$\bar{\eta}^1\bar{\eta}^2 = -q^{-1}\,\bar{\eta}^2\bar{\eta}^1, \qquad \theta^1\theta^2 = -q^{-1}\,\theta^2\theta^1. \tag{254}$$

We see that these relations are the same for conjugated and unconjugated spinors.

Next, we have to specify the commutation relations between components of different spinors. We first discuss the situation for q-Lorentz symmetry. For the relations between conjugated spinor components and unconjugated ones we choose the braiding relation (49), if we deal with symmetrized spinors. In this manner we have, for example,

$$\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\theta^{\beta} = q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\theta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}, \tag{255}$$

It is reasonable to assume that this braiding extends to all combinations of conjugated and unconjugated spinors, i.e., for example,

$$\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\eta^{\beta} = q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\eta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}. \tag{256}$$

It remains to determine the relations between two different spinors that are both either unconjugated or conjugated. We have four options to fix these relations. They can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{R1}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = q\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R2}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = q\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R3}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = q\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R4}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = q^{-1}(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{257}$$

If we consider antisymmetrized spinors the braiding between conjugated and unconjugated spinors should be governed by (50), i.e.

$$\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\theta^{\beta} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\theta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}, \qquad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\eta^{\beta} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}_{\beta'\dot{\alpha}'}\eta^{\beta'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{258}$$

and the four options in (257) modify to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{R1}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R2}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = -q(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R3}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = -q(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = -q^{-1}\hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'};\\
&\mathrm{R4}: \theta^{\alpha}\eta^{\beta} = -q(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'}\eta^{\beta'}\theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} = -q(\hat{R}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}'}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{259}$$

To sum up, different spinors are braided if we lay down q-deformed Lorentz symmetry as space-time symmetry. In the case of $U_q(so(4))$, however, things simplify drastically, since the different spinors are no longer braided. Thus, all $\hat{R}$-matrices and q's in (255)-(259) have to vanish for that case [cf. Eq. (250)].

We wish to proceed in this section as follows. First, we present the q-analog to a Fierz rearrangement rule of Ref. [42]. It is written down in its most general form and depends on several undetermined coefficients. These formulae hold for both q-deformed Minkowski space and four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space, if we insert the $\hat{R}$-matrix and the projectors of the quantum space under consideration. After each Fierz identity we give different specifications of its coefficients. These specifications correspond to the following choices: Do we have bosonic or fermionic spinors, conjugated or unconjugated matrices, and which of the four different possibilities in (257) is used? In this way we get for both four-dimensional quantum spaces and each Fierz identity sixteen possible specifications of the corresponding coefficients. A further degeneracy comes from the fact that in some formulae we can replace the $\hat{R}$-matrix (of the quantum space under consideration) by their inverse. We ignore this possibility for lack of space.

Before starting with our listing we collect the definitions of the different bilinear forms in spinor space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\phi &\equiv \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\, \theta^{\alpha}\phi^{\beta}, \\
\bar{\theta}\bar{\phi} &\equiv \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\
\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\phi} &\equiv \theta^{\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\
\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\phi &\equiv \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\phi^{\beta}, \\
\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi &\equiv \theta^{\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}\phi^{\beta}, \\
\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\phi} &\equiv \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{260}
$$

Notice that in the Euclidean case the objects with bar have to be replaced by those with tilde. It should also be mentioned that the Pauli matrices have been defined in such a way that

$$
\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\phi} \equiv \pm\bar{\phi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\theta, \tag{261}
$$

where the minus sign holds for antisymmetrized spinors. Moreover, if we assume that

$$\theta^\alpha \phi^\beta = k \hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'} \phi^{\beta'} \theta^{\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}} = k \hat{R}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}'} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}'}, \tag{262}$$

where k denotes a real constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\phi = -kq^{-1}\phi\theta, &\quad \bar{\theta}\bar{\phi} = -kq^{-1}\bar{\phi}\bar{\theta}, \\ \theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi = kq\,\phi\sigma^{\mu\nu}\theta, &\quad \bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\phi} = kq\,\bar{\phi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{263}$$

To prove these identities we first contract the braiding relations in (262) with the spinor metric or the spin matrices and then make use of

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'} = -q^{-1} \varepsilon_{\beta'\alpha'}, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta'\alpha'} = q\sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\beta'\alpha'}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} R^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'} = q\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}_{\dot{\beta}'\dot{\alpha}'}. \tag{264}$$

Finally, let us note that starting in (262) from braiding relations with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ would yield expressions with q and q^{-1} being interchanged.

Now, we begin with the simplest Fierz identity whose undeformed counterpart is called '**identity (A.4)**' in Ref. [42]. It takes the general form

$$(\theta\phi)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\eta}) = k_1\, \eta_{\mu\nu} (\theta\sigma_\mu\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^\nu\phi). \tag{265}$$

The values for the coefficient k_1 are specified in the following manner:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	1	1	1	1
bos-con	1	1	1	1
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$
ferm-con	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$	$-q^2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-3}$	$-q^{-3}$	$-q^{-3}$	$-q^{-3}$
bos-con	$-q^3$	$-q^3$	$-q^3$	$-q^3$
ferm-uncon	q^{-1}	q^3	q^3	q^{-1}
ferm-con	q	q	q^{-3}	q^{-3}

The terminus 'uncon' refers to the expression as it stands in (265), whereas the terminus 'con' is understood to mean the following replacements applied to the Fierz identity:

$$\theta \leftrightarrow \bar{\theta}, \quad \phi \leftrightarrow \bar{\phi}, \quad \chi \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi}, \quad \eta \leftrightarrow \bar{\eta}$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \sigma^{\nu} \leftrightarrow \bar{\sigma}^{\nu}. \tag{266}$$

Identity (A.3) in Ref. [42]:

$$(\theta\phi)(\chi\eta) = k_1\ (\theta\eta)(\chi\phi) +\ k_2\ \eta_{\nu\lambda}\eta_{\mu\kappa}(\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta)(\chi\sigma^{\lambda\kappa}\phi). \tag{267}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Identity (A.5) in Ref. [42]:

$$(\theta\phi)(\chi\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\eta}) = k_1\ (\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\eta})(\chi\phi) + k_2\ \eta_{\rho\nu}(\theta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\nu\mu}\phi). \tag{268}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
bos-con	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
ferm-uncon	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$	$-q^2$
bos-con	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$	$-q^{-2}$	$-q^2$
ferm-uncon	1	1	1	1
ferm-con	1	1	1	1

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-5}$	$-q^{-5}$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$
bos-con	q^5	q	q^5	q
ferm-uncon	q	q	q	q
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$

Identity (A.6) in Ref. [42]:

$$(\theta\phi)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\eta) = k_1\ (\theta\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\phi) + k_2\ \eta_{\nu\rho}(\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho}\phi). \tag{269}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q$	$-q$
bos-con	$-q^{-1}$	$-q$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q$
ferm-uncon	q	q	q^{-1}	q^{-1}
ferm-con	q	q^{-1}	q	q^{-1}

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}$	$-q$	$-q$
bos-con	q	q^{-1}	q^{-1}	q
ferm-uncon	q	q	q^{-1}	q^{-1}
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}$	$-q$	$-q$	$-q^{-1}$

Identity (A.7) in Ref. [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\phi})(\chi\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\eta}) = {}& k_1\ (\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\phi}) +\ k_2\ \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\nu'}\bar{\phi}) \\ &+\ k_3\ \eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\lambda}(\theta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\lambda}\bar{\phi}) \\ &+\ k_4\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\rho}\eta_{\kappa\beta}(\theta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\beta}\bar{\phi}). \end{aligned} \tag{270}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-2}-1/2$	$q^{2}/2$	$q^{-2}-1/2$	$q^{2}/2$
bos-con	$q^{-2}-1/2$	$q^{-2}-1/2$	$q^{2}/2$	$q^{2}/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^{2}/2-1$	$-1/2$	$q^{2}/2-1$	$-1/2$
ferm-con	$q^{2}/2-1$	$q^{2}/2-1$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^2 - 1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^2 - 1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$
bos-con	$q^2 - 1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^2 - 1/2$	$q^2 - 1/2$
ferm-uncon	$-(2 - q^{-2})/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$	$-(2 - q^{-2})/2$
ferm-con	$-1/2$	$-(2 - q^2)/2$	$-(2 - q^{-2})/2$	$-(2 - q^{-2})/2$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-1}/2$	$q/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	$q/2$
bos-con	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	$q/2$	$q/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^2/2$	$1/2$	$q^2/2$	$1/2$
bos-con	$q^2/2$	$1/2$	$q^2/2$	$q^2/2$
ferm-uncon	$-1/2$	$q^2/2$	$q^2/2$	$-1/2$
ferm-con	$-q^2/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-1/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-1/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$
ferm-uncon	$1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$
ferm-con	$1/2$	$1/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^2/2$	$-1/2$	$q^2/2$	$-1/2$
bos-con	$q^{-2}/2$	$-1/2$	$-q^2/2$	$-q^2/q$
ferm-uncon	$1/2$	$q^2/2$	$q^2/2$	$1/2$
ferm-con	$q^2/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$

Table for k_4:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-3}/2$	$-q^{-3}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-3}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-3}/2$
ferm-con	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3/2}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^3/2$	$-q/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q/2$
bos-con	$q/2$	$q/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$
ferm-uncon	$q/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	$q/2$
ferm-con	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$

Identity (A.8) in Ref. [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\phi})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\eta) = {} & k_1\ \eta^{\mu\nu}(\theta\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\phi}) + \ k_2\ (\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\phi}) \\ & + \ k_3\ (\theta\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\phi}) \\ & + \ k_4\ \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta_{\lambda\rho}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'\lambda}\eta)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\nu'}\bar{\phi}). \qquad (271)\end{aligned}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}
bos-con	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}	λ_+^{-2}
ferm-uncon	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$
ferm-con	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-2}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$
bos-con	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$
ferm-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-2}$
ferm-con	$q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-2}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-2}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-2}$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
bos-con	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
ferm-uncon	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^3\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^2\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
ferm-con	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^5\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^5\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-5}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-5}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_4 :

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-3}$	$-q$	$-q^{-3}$	$-q$
bos-con	$-q^{-3}$	$-q^{-3}$	$-q$	$-q$
ferm-uncon	q^{-1}	q^{-1}	q^{-1}	q^{-1}
ferm-con	q^{-1}	q^{-1}	q^{-1}	q^{-1}

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^7$	$-q^3$	$-q^3$	$-q^7$
bos-con	$-q^{-3}$	$-q$	$-q$	$-q^{-3}$
ferm-uncon	q	q	q	q
ferm-con	q^3	q^3	q^3	q^3

Identity (A.9) in Ref. [42]:

$$(\theta\phi)(\chi\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta) = k_1\ (\theta\eta)(\chi\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi) +\ k_2\ (\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta)(\chi\phi)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ k_3\ \eta_{\lambda\rho}(\theta\sigma^{\mu\lambda}\eta)(\chi\sigma^{\rho\nu}\phi) \\
&+\ k_4\ \hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta_{\lambda\rho}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'\lambda}\eta)(\chi\sigma^{\rho\nu'}\phi).
\end{aligned} \tag{272}
$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{7}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{7}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-7}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	λ_+^{-1}	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	λ_+^{-1}	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{6}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q^{6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$q^{2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}
ferm-con	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Table for k_4:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{-6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$q^{4}\lambda_+^{-1}$
bos-con	$-q^{4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-6}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{4}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-6}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-uncon	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$
ferm-con	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$	λ_+^{-1}	λ_+^{-1}	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}$

Identity (A.10) in Ref. [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\phi)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\eta}) = {} & k_1\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu'}\phi) \\ & +\ k_2\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\rho}\eta_{\kappa\rho'}(\theta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho'}\phi).\end{aligned} \tag{273}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$q/2\lambda_+$	$q/2\lambda_+$	$q/2\lambda_+$	$q/2\lambda_+$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$

Table for k_2 :

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-1/2$	$-q^{-4}/2$	$-1/2$	$-q^{-4}/2$
bos-con	$1/2$	$1/2$	$q^{-4}/2$	$q^{-4}/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$
ferm-con	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q/2$	$q^{-3}/2$
bos-con	$q/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q/2$	$q^{-3}/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$
ferm-con	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$

Identity (A.11) in Ref. [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi)(\chi\sigma^{\lambda}\bar{\eta}) = {} & k_1\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\eta})(\chi\phi) \\ & +\ k_2\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\nu'\lambda}\phi) \\ & +\ k_3\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\eta_{\rho\gamma}(\theta\sigma^{\gamma}\bar{\eta})(\chi\phi) \\ & +\ k_4\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\rho}\eta_{\rho\alpha}\eta_{\kappa\gamma}(\theta\sigma^{\alpha}\bar{\eta})(\chi\sigma^{\gamma\lambda}\phi). \end{aligned} \tag{274}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$
bos-con	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^2/2$	$-q^2/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$
ferm-con	$-q^2/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^2/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$
bos-con	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^3/2$	$-q^3/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	$q^3/2$	$q/2$	$q/2$	$q^3/2$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-5}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{5}\lambda_+/2$	$-q\lambda_+/2$	$-q\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{5}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$q\lambda_+/2$	$q\lambda_+/2$	$q\lambda_+/2$	$q\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+/2$

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
bos-con	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-uncon	$\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	$-\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
bos-con	$q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{5}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{3}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$

Table for k_4:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-4}/2$	$q^{-4}/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-4}/2$	$-1/2$	$-q^{-4}/2$	$-1/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$
ferm-con	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$	$q^{-2}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-3}/2$	$-q^{-3}/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$
bos-con	$q^7/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^7/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$
ferm-con	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	$-q/2$

Identity (A.12) in Ref. [42]:

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi)(\bar\chi\bar\sigma^{\lambda}\eta) = {} & k_1\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta^{\nu'\lambda}(\theta\eta)(\bar\chi\bar\sigma^{\mu'}\phi) \\ & +\ k_2\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\hat R^{\nu'\lambda}{}_{\nu''\lambda''}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'\nu''}\eta)(\bar\chi\bar\sigma^{\lambda''}\phi) \\ & +\ k_3\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\eta_{\rho\rho'}(\theta\eta)(\bar\chi\bar\sigma^{\rho'}\phi) \\ & +\ k_4\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\kappa}\hat R^{\rho'\lambda}{}_{\rho''\lambda'}\eta_{\kappa\kappa'}\eta_{\rho\rho'}(\theta\sigma^{\kappa'\rho''}\eta)(\bar\chi\bar\sigma^{\lambda'}\phi). \qquad (275)\end{aligned}$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	/	/	$-q/2$	$-q/2$
bos-con	/	$-q/2$	/	$-q/2$
ferm-uncon	/	/	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	/	$q/2$	/	$q/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	/	/
bos-con	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	/	/
ferm-uncon	$q/2$	$q/2$	/	/
ferm-con	/	$-q/2$	$-q/2$	/

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	/	/	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
bos-con	/	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/	$-q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-uncon	/	/	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-3}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$
ferm-con	/	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/	$q^{-1}\lambda_+^{-1}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/	/
bos-con	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$-q\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/	/
ferm-uncon	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/	/
ferm-con	/	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	$q^3\lambda_+^{-1}/2$	/

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	/	/	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	/	$\lambda_+/2$	/	$\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	/	/	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	/	$\lambda_+/2$	/	$\lambda_+/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q\lambda_+/2$	$-q\lambda_+/2$	/	/
bos-con	$q\lambda_+/2$	$q\lambda_+/2$	/	/
ferm-uncon	$-q^3\lambda_+/2$	$-q^3\lambda_+/2$	/	/
ferm-con	/	$q^3\lambda_+/2$	$q^3\lambda_+/2$	/

Table for k_4:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	/	/	$-q^{-2}/2$	$-q^{-2}/2$
bos-con	/	$q^{-2}/2$	/	$q^{-2}/2$
ferm-uncon	/	/	$q^{-4}/2$	$q^{-4}/2$
ferm-con	/	$q^{-2}/2$	/	$q^{-2}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	/	/
bos-con	$q^3/2$	$q^3/2$	/	/
ferm-uncon	$-q^5/2$	$-q^5/2$	/	/
ferm-con	/	$q^5/2$	$q^5/2$	/

Identity (A.13) in Ref. [42]:

$$(\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\phi)(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda}\bar{\eta}) = k_1\ (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\hat{R}^{\nu'\kappa'}{}_{\kappa''\nu''}\eta^{\mu'\kappa''}\eta^{\nu''\lambda'}\eta_{\rho\rho'}(\theta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\rho'}\phi)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\; k_2\, (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu''\kappa''}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\hat{R}^{\nu''\lambda'}{}_{\lambda''\nu'''}\eta^{\kappa''\kappa'}(\theta\sigma^{\lambda''}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\nu'''}\phi)\\
&+\; k_3\, (P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\hat{R}^{\nu'\kappa'}{}_{\kappa''\nu''}\eta^{\mu'\kappa''}(\theta\sigma^{\nu''}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda'}\phi)\\
&+\; k_4\, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa'\rho}(P_A)^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa'\lambda'}\eta_{\rho\rho'}(\theta\sigma^{\rho'}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda'}\phi)\\
&+\; k_5\, \varepsilon^{\nu'\kappa\lambda\rho}(P_A)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu'\nu'}\eta_{\rho\rho'}(\theta\sigma^{\mu'}\bar{\eta})(\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho'}\phi). \qquad (276)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table for k_1:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-5}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-5}/2$
bos-con	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{-5}/2$	$-q^{-5}/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$
ferm-con	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$	$q^{-3}/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{-1}/2$	$-q^{3}/2$	$-q^{3}/2$	$-q^{3}/2-q^{-1}/2$
bos-con	$-q^{5}/2$	$-q^{5}/2$	$-q^{5}/2$	$-q^{5}/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^{9}/2$	$q^{5}/2$	$q^{5}/2$	$q^{9}/2$
ferm-con	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{-1}/2$	$q^{3}/2$	$q^{3}/2$

Table for k_2:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$-q^{4}\lambda_+/2$	$-\lambda_+/2$	$-\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{4}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{2}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$

Table for k_3:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$\lambda_+/2$	$\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^2\lambda_+/2$	$q^2\lambda_+/2$	$q^2\lambda_+/2$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+/2$
bos-con	$q^4\lambda_+/2$	$q^4\lambda_+/2$	$q^4\lambda_+/2$	$q^4\lambda_+/2$
ferm-uncon	$-q^4\lambda_+/2$	$-q^4\lambda_+/2$	$-q^4\lambda_+/2$	$-q^4\lambda_+/2$
ferm-con	$-q^2\lambda_+/2$	$-q^2\lambda_+/2$	$-q^2\lambda_+/2$	$-q^2\lambda_+/2$

Table for k_4:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$	$\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$
bos-con	$-\lambda_+/4$	$-\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-con	$q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+/4$
bos-con	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$
ferm-uncon	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$	$q^3\lambda_+/4$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$

Table for k_5:

Euclid	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$	$\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$
bos-con	$-\lambda_+/4$	$-\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-4}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-uncon	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$	$-q^{-2}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$

Mink	R1	R2	R3	R4
bos-uncon	$q^{-3}\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$q^{-3}\lambda_+/4$
bos-con	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-uncon	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$	$q^{3}\lambda_+/4$
ferm-con	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$	$-q\lambda_+/4$

Acknowledgements
First of all we are very grateful to Eberhard Zeidler for his invitation to the MPI Leipzig, his special interest in our work and his financial support. Furthermore we would like to thank Fabian Bachmaier and Ina Stein for their steady support. Finally, we thank Dieter Lüst for kind hospitality.

A q-Deformed quantum spaces

The aim of this appendix is the following. For the quantum spaces under consideration we list the defining commutation relations. In addition to this, we write down the non-vanishing elements of their quantum metric and q-deformed epsilon tensor.

The two-dimensional quantum plane is described in Sec. 2 in great detail. In the case of three-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space the commutation relations between its coordinates X^A, $A \in \{+, 3, -\}$, read

$$\begin{aligned} X^3X^{\pm} &= q^{\pm 2}X^{\pm}X^3, \\ X^-X^+ &= X^+X^- + \lambda X^3X^3. \end{aligned} \tag{277}$$

The non-vanishing elements of the quantum metric are

$$g^{+-} = -q, \quad g^{33} = 1, \quad g^{-+} = -q^{-1}. \tag{278}$$

As usual, covariant coordinates are introduced by

$$X_A = g_{AB}X^B, \tag{279}$$

with g_{AB} being the inverse of g^{AB}. The non-vanishing components of the three-dimensional q-deformed epsilon tensor take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-3+} &= -q^{-4}, & \varepsilon^{3-+} &= q^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon^{-+3} &= q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{+-3} &= -q^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{3+-} &= -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{+3-} &= 1, \\ \varepsilon^{333} &= -q^{-2}\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{280}$$

Next we come to four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean space. For its coordinates X^i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 X^j &= q X^j X^1, \\ X^j X^4 &= q X^4 X^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ X^2 X^3 &= X^3 X^2, \\ X^4 X^1 &= X^1 X^4 + \lambda X^2 X^3. \end{aligned} \tag{281}$$

The metric has the non-vanishing components

$$g^{14} = q^{-1}, \quad g^{23} = g^{32} = 1, \quad g^{41} = q. \tag{282}$$

Its inverse denoted by g_{ij} can again be used to introduce covariant coordinates, i.e.

$$X_i = g_{ij} X^j. \tag{283}$$

The non-vanishing components of the epsilon tensor of four-dimensional q-deformed Euclidean become (see also Refs. [38, 56, 57])

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{1234} &= 1, & \varepsilon^{1432} &= -q^2, & \varepsilon^{2413} &= -q^2, \\ \varepsilon^{2134} &= -q, & \varepsilon^{4132} &= q^2, & \varepsilon^{4213} &= q^3, \\ \varepsilon^{1324} &= -1, & \varepsilon^{3412} &= q^2, & \varepsilon^{2341} &= -q^2, \\ \varepsilon^{3124} &= q, & \varepsilon^{4312} &= -q^3, & \varepsilon_{3241} &= q^2, \\ \varepsilon^{2314} &= q^2, & \varepsilon^{1243} &= -q, & \varepsilon^{2431} &= q^3, \\ \varepsilon^{3214} &= -q^2, & \varepsilon^{2143} &= q^2, & \varepsilon^{4231} &= -q^4, \\ \varepsilon^{1342} &= q, & \varepsilon^{1423} &= q^2, & \varepsilon^{3421} &= -q^3, \\ \varepsilon^{3142} &= -q^2, & \varepsilon^{4123} &= -q^2, & \varepsilon^{4321} &= q^4, \end{aligned} \tag{284}$$

together with the non-classical components

$$\varepsilon^{3232} = -\varepsilon^{2323} = -q^2\lambda. \tag{285}$$

Now, we come to q-deformed Minkowski space [24, 25, 58]. Its coordinates are subjected to the relations

$$X^\mu X^0 = X^0 X^\mu, \quad \mu \in \{0, +, -, 3\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^3X^{\pm} - q^{\pm 2}X^{\pm}X^3 &= -q\lambda X^0X^{\pm}, \\ X^-X^+ - X^+X^- &= \lambda(X^3X^3 - X^0X^3), \end{aligned} \tag{286}$$

and its metric is given by

$$\eta^{00} = -1, \quad \eta^{33} = 1, \quad \eta^{+-} = -q, \quad \eta^{-+} = -q^{-1}. \tag{287}$$

As usual, the metric can be used to raise and lower indices. The non-vanishing components of the q-deformed epsilon tensor read

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varepsilon^{+30-} = 1, & \varepsilon^{+-03} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{3-+0} = q^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon^{3+0-} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{-+03} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{-3+0} = q^{-4}, \\ \varepsilon^{+03-} = -1, & \varepsilon^{0-+3} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{30-+} = -q^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon^{0+3-} = 1, & \varepsilon^{-0+3} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{03-+} = q^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon^{30+-} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{+3-0} = -1, & \varepsilon^{3-0+} = q^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon^{03+-} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{3+-0} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{-30+} = -q^{-4}, \\ \varepsilon^{+0-3} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{+-30} = q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{0-3+} = -q^{-4}, \\ \varepsilon^{0+-3} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{-+30} = -q^{-2}, & \varepsilon^{-03+} = q^{-4}, \end{array} \tag{288}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} \varepsilon^{0-0+} &= q^{-3}\lambda, & \varepsilon^{-0+0} &= -q^{-3}\lambda, \\ \varepsilon^{0333} &= -q^{-2}\lambda, & \varepsilon^{3330} &= q^{-2}\lambda, \\ \varepsilon^{3033} &= +q^{-2}\lambda, & \varepsilon^{3030} &= -q^{-2}\lambda, \\ \varepsilon^{3303} &= -q^{-2}\lambda, & \varepsilon^{+0-0} &= -q^{-1}\lambda, \\ \varepsilon^{0303} &= q^{-2}\lambda, & \varepsilon^{0+0-} &= q^{-1}\lambda. \end{array} \tag{289}$$

Lowering the indices of the epsilon tensor is achieved by the quantum metric:

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \eta_{\mu\mu'}\eta_{\nu\nu'}\eta_{\rho\rho'}\eta_{\sigma\sigma'}\varepsilon^{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'}. \tag{290}$$

References

[1] W. Heisenberg, *Über die in der Theorie der Elementarteilchen auftretende universelle Länge,* Ann. Phys. **32** (1938) 20.

[2] H. S. Snyder, *Quantized Space-Time*, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 38.

[3] C. H. Yang, *On Quantized Space-Time*, Phys. Rev. **72** (1947) 847.

[4] H. T. Flint, *The Quantization of Space and Time*, Phys. Rev. **74** (1948) 209.

[5] E. L. Hill, *Relativistic Theory of Discrete Momentum Space and Discrete Space-Time*, Phys. Rev. **100** (1955) 1780.

[6] A. Das, *Cellular space-time and quantum field theory*, Nuovo Cimento **18** (1960) 483.

[7] Yu. A. Gol'fand, *Quantum field theory in constant curvature p-space*, Sov. Phys. JETP **16** (1963) 184.

[8] M. Fichtenmüller, A. Lorek and J. Wess, *q-deformed Phase Space and its Lattice Structure,* Z. Phys. C **71** (1996) 533, [hep-th/9511106].

[9] B. L. Cerchiai and J. Wess, *q-deformed Minkowski Space based on a q-Lorentz Algebra,* Eur. Phys. J. C **5** (1998) 553, [math.qa/9801104].

[10] H. Grosse, C. Klimčik and P. Prešnajder, *Towards finite quantum field theory in non-commutative geometry,* Int. J. Theor. Phys. **35** (1996) 231, [hep-th/9505175].

[11] S. Majid, *On the q-regularisation,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5** (1990) 4689.

[12] R. Oeckl, *Braided Quantum Field Theory,* Commun. Math. Phys. **217** (2001) 451.

[13] C. Blohmann, *Free q-deformed relativistic wave equations by representation theory*, Eur. Phys. J. C **30** (2003) 435, [hep-th/0111172].

[14] P. P. Kulish and N. Y. Reshetikin, *Quantum linear problem for the Sine-Gordon equation and higher representations,* J. Sov. Math. **23** (1983) 2345.

[15] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudogroups,* Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987) 613.

[16] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,* Sov. Math. Dokl. **32** (1985) 254.

[17] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of U(g) and the Yang-Baxter equation,* Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63.

[18] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in A. M. Gleason, ed., Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amer. Math. Soc., 798 (1986).

[19] Yu. I. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry*, Centre de Recherche Mathématiques, Montreal (1988).

[20] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 193.

[21] M. Takeuchi, *Matrix Bialgebras and Quantum Groups*, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990) 232.

[22] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl and S. Watamura, *Tensor Representations of the Quantum Group $SL_q(2)$ and Quantum Minkowski Space*, Z. Phys. C **48** (1990) 159.

[23] P. Podleś and S. L. Woronowicz, *Quantum Deformation of Lorentz Group*, Commun. Math. Phys. **130** (1990) 381.

[24] W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *A q-deformed Lorentz Algebra in Minkowski phase space*, Z. Phys. C **52** (1991) 471.

[25] S. Majid, *Examples of braided groups and braided matrices*, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 3246.

[26] A. Lorek, W. Weich and J. Wess, *Non-commutative Euclidean and Minkowski Structures,* Z. Phys. C **76** (1997) 375, [q-alg/9702025].

[27] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, *New Quantum Poincaré Algebra and κ-deformed Field Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **293** (1992) 344.

[28] L. Castellani, *Differential Calculus on $ISO_q(N)$, Quantum Poincaré Algebra and q-Gravity*, preprint, [hep-th/9312179].

[29] V. K. Dobrev, *New q-Minkowski space-time and q-Maxwell equations hierarchy from q-conformal invariance,* Phys. Lett. B **341** (1994) 133.

[30] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, *The Quantum Structure of Space-Time at the Planck Scale and Quantum fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1995) 187.

[31] M. Chaichian and A. P. Demichev, *Quantum Poincaré group without dilatation and twisted classical algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 398.

[32] M. Chaichian, P. P. Kulish, K. Nishijima and A. Tureanu, *On a Lorentz-Invariant Interpretation of Noncommutative Space-Time and its Implications on Noncommutative QFT,* Phys. Lett. B **604** (2004) 98, [hep-th/0408062].

[33] F. Koch and E. Tsouchnika, *Construction of θ-Poincaré Algebras and their invariants on $\mathcal{M}_\theta$*, Nucl. Phys. B **717** (2005) 387, [hep-th/0409012].

[34] M. Schliecker, *Quantendeformation der Lorentzgruppe und $SO_q(N)$ kovariante Differentialrechnung auf Quantenebenen,* Ph.D. thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Fakultät für Physik (1990).

[35] M. Schliecker and M. Scholl, *Spinor calculus for quantum groups,* Z. Phys. C **47** (1990) 625.

[36] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schliecker, M. Scholl, and S. Watamura, *Tensor representation of the quantum group $SL_q(2, \mathbb{C})$ and quantum minkowski space*, Z. Phys. C **48** (1990) 159.

[37] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schliecker, M. Scholl, and S. Watamura, *A Quantum Lorentz Group*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6** (1990) 3081.

[38] U. Meyer, *Wave equations on q-deformed Minkowski space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **174** (1996) 457.

[39] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press, (1995).

[40] L. D. Landau and M. E. Lifschitz, *Lehrbuch der theoretischen Physik, Vol. 4 Quantenelektrodynamik,* Akademie Verlag, Berlin (1991).

[41] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Second Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1991).

[42] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Supersymmetric Gauge Field Theory and String Theory*, Graduate Student Series in Physics, Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol (1996).

[43] H. Hopf, *Über die Topologie der Gruppenmannigfaltigkeiten und ihre Verallgemeinerungen*, Ann. Math. **42** (1941) 22.

[44] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory,* University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[45] M. Chaichian and A. P. Demichev, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, World Scientific, Singapore *(*1996).

[46] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and their Representations,* Springer Verlag, Berlin (1997).

[47] S. Wolfram, *The Mathematica Book,* fourth ed., University Press, Cambridge (1999).

[48] J. Wess, *q-deformed Heisenberg Algebras*, in H. Gausterer, H. Grosse and L. Pittner, eds., Proceedings of the 38. Internationale Universitätswochen für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, no. 543 in Lect. Notes in Phys., Springer-Verlag, Schladming (2000), [math-ph/9910013].

[49] C. Blohmann, *Spin Representations of the q-Poincare Algebra,* Ph.D. thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Fakultät für Physik (2001).

[50] D. Mikulovic, A. Schmidt and H. Wachter, *Grassmann variables on quantum spaces,* Eur. Phys. J. C **45** (2006) 529, [hep-th/0407273].

[51] A. Schmidt and H. Wachter, *Superanalysis on quantum spaces*, JHEP **0601** (2006) 84, [hep-th/0411180].

[52] A. Lorek, W. B. Schmidke and J. Wess, $SU_q(2)$*-Covariant* $\hat{R}$*-Matrices for Reducible Representations,* Lett. Math. Phys. **31** (1994) 279.

[53] A. Schmidt and H. Wachter, *q-Deformed quantum Lie algebras,* J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006) 2289, [mat-ph/0500932].

[54] V. I. Borodulin, R. N. Rogalyov and S. R. Slabospitsky, *CORE COmpendium of RElations Version 2.1*, [hep-ph/9507456].

[55] P. Binétruy, G. Girardi and R. Grimm, *Supergravity couplings: a geometric formulation,* Phys. Rept. **343** (2001) 255, [hep-th/0005225].

[56] G. Fiore, *The $SO_q(N)$-symmmetric harmonic oszillator on the quantum Euclidean space R_q^N and its Hilbert space structure*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **8** (1993) 4679.

[57] S. Majid, *q-epsilon tensor for quantum and braided spaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 1991.

[58] O. Ogievetsky, W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *q-deformed Poincaré Algebra,* Commun. Math. Phys. **150** (1992) 495.